http://bjas.journals.ekb.eg

# Removal of cesium from contaminated soils using EDTA-Na and DTPAchelating agents

Amal A.A. Elshazly<sup>1</sup>, Ihab M. Farid<sup>2</sup>, Magdy A. Rizk<sup>1</sup> and Mohamed H.H. Abbas<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Soil and Water Researches Dept., Nuclear Researches Center, Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority, Egypt

<sup>2</sup>Soil and Water Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Benha University, Egypt

E-mail: amal.shazely@gmail.com

#### Abstract

Soil contamination with Cs possesses a serious environmental threat; and therefore, its remediation is an obligation to ensure environmental safety. The current study aims at evaluating the efficiency of using either EDTA-Na or DTPA as chelating agents for removal of Cs from contaminated soils. Three soils (a clayey oneand two sandy loam soils differing in the organic matter and CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents) were selected to attain this aim.Samples of the soils under study were artificially contaminated with CsCl at three levels i.e. 50, 100, and 200 mg Cs kg<sup>-1</sup>. These samples were subjected to sequential extraction pre- and post- leaching to find out Cs redistribution among the different soil fractions after soil washing. The implications of soil washing with the investigated chelating agents on decreasing the risk assessment hazards associated with Cs hazards are discussed in this study. Key results indicated that DTPA was more efficient in removal of Cs from the contaminated soils than the EDTA-Nadidand both decreased considerably soil Cs, particularly from those which are considered the mobile fractions (water soluble and exchangeable fractions) beside of the carbonate bound fractions. Accordingly, the calculated risk assessment code declined noticeably from "very high risk" levels (more than 50) to "medium risk" levels (ranging from 30 to 50 ) for most spiked soils. In conclusion, DTPA and EDTA-Na are effective chelating agents in reducing the risks associated with Cs contamination in soils.

Keywords: Cesium fractionation, washing, risk assessment code, EDTA-Na, DTPA.

### 1. Introduction

Accumulation of potentially toxic elements (PTEs)in soil represents a serious environmental threat worldwide[1-7]. Cesium is one of the main fusion products, which has been stared to release to the atmosphere after the first nuclear bomb at the sixties of the last century[8-10]. The following nuclear disasters such as Chernobyl in1986 and Fukoshima in 2011 returns the fear of the negative consequences of Cs on the environment[11-13].Cs as a radioactive nuclide (<sup>137</sup>Cs has about 30 years half-life) risks are higher than the corresponding ones of the stable toxic form[14].Generally, the chemical behavior of<sup>137</sup>Cs is similar to those of Na and K[15]; thusit deposits in the soft tissues of aquatic and terrestrial organisms[16]. In the human body, itharms the central nervous system and causes kidneys and liverfailure [17].

Sorption of cesium on soil particles and ts transfer to plant are affected by various factors[18-19], such as soil pH which diminishes Cs mobility at high pH values[20]. Also, concentration of potassium lessens Cs sorptionon soil particles due to collapse of the hydrated and expanded interlayer regions [21]. Additionally, K competes with Cs on the uptake carriers due to the similarity in chemical behavior; hence decreases Cs influx [9, 22]. Other elements, like Na, Ca, Al, P may decrease Cs availability to plant[23]. Moreover, increasing carbonate content in soil decreases the mobility of cesium[24].Besides, organic matter can affect cesium availability[25]. In this concern, up to 71% of the <sup>137</sup>Cs was found bonded to organic matter in the forest of Japan after Fukushima accident[26]. Mostly, sorption of Cs on organic matter followed the Freundlich isotherm model [27].

Remediation of Cs-contaminated soils is therefore an obligation to ensure environmental safety [28-29]. This can be conducted via different techniques, such as bioremediation, thermal desorption electrokinetic remediation and soil leaching[30].Chemical washing represents a good candidate for remediation of contaminants from soil via either acids (HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl.  $H_3PO_4$  and  $H_2SO_4$  [31] or synthetic chelators [32].For example,the cationic surfactant(dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide (DTAB) released sorbedcesium (up to 97%), in which ammonium ions substitute Cs within the interlayers of clay minerals [33].Maybe, the usage of biodegradable components like NTA, EDDS, EDDG, EDDM, HIDS and PDA could besafer; yet still all of these agents exhibit relatively lower efficiencies n extraction of contaminants from soil versus EDTA-Na and DTPA [34] whose low molecular weights increase their efficiency to form strong complexes with Cs [35-36]. The current study aims at evaluating the efficiencies of both EDTA-Na and DTPA in washing Cs out of soils of different characters and varying contents of Cs (50, 100 and 200 mg Cs kg<sup>-1</sup>)in relation to the risk assessment of cesium. Changes in different Cs fractions (pre- and post soil washing) is a matter of concern herein.

# 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Materials of study

Three surface soil samples (0-30 cm) were collected from different areas of Egypt, i.e.  $(S_1)$  and  $(S_2)$ from Mashtul El Souq and Anshas, respectively (Al- Sharqiyah Governorate) beside of  $(S_3)$  from Ameria industrial area, Alexandria Governorate. The first soil differs from the other ones in the textural class, the organic matter content and the CaCO<sub>3</sub> content. The coordinates of the aforementioned sites are S1: 30° 21' 27" N and 31° 23' 02" E, S2: 30° 23' 19" N and 31° 26' 52" E and S3: 33° 17' 54" N and 44° 17' 59" E, respectively. These samples were air dried, crushed, finely ground, sieved through a 2 mm sieve, and analyzed for their chemical and physical characteristics according to Estefan et al. [37]and the results are presented in Table 1.

Soil samples were then artificially contaminated with non-radioactive (stable) Cs in the form of CsCl (sigma Aldrich, purity 99.9%) at three different rates i.e. 50, 100 and 200 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> to simulate an accidental release of this contaminant to the surrounding soils. Soils were then left to equilibrate via subjecting to successive drying and wetting cycles for three months; thereafter soil portions were collected and analyzed for their content of Cs within the different fractions (sequential extractions) then subjected to washing with different chelating agents as revealed below.

### 2.2. Sequential extraction procedure

Subsamples of the contaminated soils underwent sequential fractionation to differentiate among different Cs fractions: water soluble, exchangeable, carbonate bound, Fe-Mn oxides bound, organic bound and residual fractions according to the procedures suggested by [38].In this process, one gram portion of each of the previously artificially contaminated soils(on dry weight basis) was placed into a 40-mL polycarbonate centrifuge tube. The water-soluble fraction was extracted by 15 mL of deionized water for 2 hours. Eight mL of 1 M MgCl<sub>2</sub> (pH = 7.0) were added to the residue from water-soluble fraction and agitated for one hour to extract the exchangeable fraction. The residue from exchangeable fraction was extracted by8 mLM sodium acetate (NaOAc) (adjusted to pH = 5.0) with continuous agitation for 5 hours to extract the carbonate bound fraction.

**Concerning the Fe-Mn oxides-bound fraction**, the residue from the carbonate fraction was mixed with **20mL** of 0.04 *M* hydroxylamine hydrochloride (NH<sub>2</sub>OH.HCl) in 25% (v/v) acetic acid (HOAc) and heated up to 96°C with occasional agitation for 6 hours. For extracting the **organic-bound Cs fraction**, the residue from the previous step was extracted with 3 mL of 0.02 *M*HNO<sub>3</sub> and 5 mL of 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (adjusted to pH = 2.0 with HNO<sub>3</sub>). This mixture was heated to 85°C for 2 hours, with occasional agitation. Furthermore, 3 mL aliquot of 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (adjusted to pH = 2.0 with HNO<sub>3</sub>), was added and the mixture was heated again to 85°C for 3 hours with intermittent agitation. After cooling, 5 mL of 3.2 *M* NH<sub>4</sub>OAc in 20% (v/v) HNO<sub>3</sub> was added and diluted to **20 mL** and agitated continuously for 30 minutes. The residual soil from the previous step was

placed in platinum crucible and digested with hydrofluoric acid to determine the residual Cs fraction.

Following each extraction procedure, mixtures were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 minutes and the washing solutions were collected. All soil extractants were analyzed for Cs contentusing AAS technique then determined with Atomic Absorption Spectrometry AAS (model GBC 902). Total contentof Cs was determined in soil via acid digestion viaHF.

# 2.3. Washing the PTEs by chelating agents

Other portions of the contaminated soils were treated with either 0.05 M EDTA-Na or DTPA solution (pH adjusted to 7) at a rate of 1:10 (w/v:  $W_{soil}/V_{solution}$ ) ratio, then left to equilibrate for 24 h via occasional shaking at room temperature. These mixtures were centrifuged, passed through a 0.45 µm filter and supernatants were collected. Thereafter, the soil samples were dried, and the sequential extraction of Cd was carried out again (after soil leaching via the synthetic chelating agents) as proposed by [38] to explore the redistribution of Cs among the different soil fractions.

Concentrations of Cs were determined in all liquid solutions obtained from the sequential extraction procedures and in the soil suspensions after EDTA-Na and DTPA washing. All extractions and digestions were performed in triplicate. Measurement of the metal ion contents in liquid extracts was determined with Atomic Absorption Spectrometry AAS (model GBC 902). All reagent used were of analytical grade.

## 2.4. Data analyses and calculations

The obtained data were statistically analyzed, using statistical software program SPSS Ver. 14 (IBM Co., USA) for ANOVA tests. Risk assessment code (RAC) was calculated as mentioned by [39] as follows:

 $RAC = \frac{Ex+Carb}{Ex+Carb+Ox+OM+resid} \times 100 \dots (1)$ 

Where **Ex**: exchangeable fraction, **Carb**: carbonate bonded fraction, **Ox**: Fe-Mn oxide bonded fraction, **OM**: organic bonded fraction and **resid**: residual fraction. This equation has five classes based on RAC values which are no risk (RAC < 1), low risk ( $1 \le RAC < 10$ ), medium risk ( $10 \le RAC < 30$ ), high risk ( $30 \le RAC < 50$ ) and very high risk (RAC  $\ge 50$ ). Many researchers use RAC for expressing the metal ion risk in soil and consider the water soluble fraction among the exchangeable fraction [40].

The removal efficiency (RE) of Cs by using the chelating agents was calculated from:

Removal efficiency (RE) =  $\frac{C_o - C_w}{C_o} \times 100..(2)$ 

Where,  $C_0$  is the C<sub>s</sub>spiked level in the studied soil and C<sub>w</sub>is the concentration of Cs remained in soil after washing using chelating agent.

Property	Soil location				
	Mashtoul El Souq	Anshass	Al-Amria		
Clay %	28.8	9.30	12.60		
Silt %	30.00	21.00	22.30		
Fine Sand %	22.20	38.80	33.60		
Coarse Sand %	19.00	30.90	31.50		
Soil Texture	Clay Loam	Sandy loam	Sandy loam		
Bulk densityMgm <sup>-3</sup>	1.40	1.60	1.41		
pH(1:2.5)	7.88	7.79	7.83		
$\mathbf{OM} (g kg^{-1})$	18	8.6	8.6		
$CaCO_3(g kg^{-1})$	99	165	231		
CEC (cmolkg <sup>-1</sup> )	24.32	4.05	10.97		
EC (1:5) dS m <sup>-1</sup>	2.49	5.89	3.29		
	Soluble cations	(mmol c L <sup>-1</sup> )			
$\mathbf{K}^+$	0.80	1.00	0.80		
$Na^+$	13.50	31.00	16.50		
$\mathbf{Mg}^{++}$	2.50	11.1	5.70		
Ca <sup>++</sup>	7.20	14.9	9.00		
	Soluble anions	$($ mmol c $L^{-1})$			
CO <sub>3</sub> <sup></sup>	ND	ND	ND		
HCO <sub>3</sub>	0.35	1.50	0.89		
Cl	21.20	53.60	29.10		
SO4	2.45	2.90	2.01		
-	Cesium concentr	ation (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )			
Total Cs	ND	0.002	ND		
Soluble Cs	ND	ND	ND		
D: Not Detected					

Table (1) Some physical and chemical properties of the investigated soils.

3. Results and discussion

**3.1. Effect of application of EDTA-Na or DTPA on washing of cesium from different tested spiked soils** Concentrations of Cs in the equilibrium solution after soil washing with either of the investigated chelating agents i.e. EDTA-Na or DTPA are presented in Figure 1. Both agents exhibited high efficiency to remove considerable concentrations of Cs from soils. In general, DTPA seemed to be more efficient in removal of Cs than EDTA-Na in all soils. These results contradict the outcomes of [32] who found that EDTA- Na was more effective than DTPA in washing soil cesium. Results also revealed that the removed amounts of Cs via soil washing were the highest in Amreia soil ( $S_3$ ), which contained the highest carbonate content, while the lowest ones were in Mashtoul El Souq soil ( $S_1$ ) which had the highest organic matter content. These results probably indicate the capability of these chelating agents to chelate the carbonate bounded fraction of Cs while being incapable of chelating the organic bound fractions. In this concern, Cs is weakly bound to the carbonate fraction; thus can be extracted easily via chelating agents [41]; yet, organic matter has high affinity to sorb Cs [42].Generally, the efficiency of chelates for washing Cs from soils is applicable at pH 4–8, as the EDTA- Na complexes can be re-adsorbed on soil surface sites at lower pH[43].



**Fig.** (1) Removal of Cs (mg/L)(means±standard deviations)from different soils spikedwith 50, 100 and 200mg Cs kg<sup>-1</sup>via soil washing with EDTA-Na and DTPA (S1: Mashtoul El Souq soil, S2: Anshas soil and S3: Al- Ameria soil).

The removal efficiency of the tested chelators for Cs spiked soils were then calculated and the results are illustrated in Figure 2. The highest values were recorded for DTPA extraction versus EDTA-Na, particularly in  $S_2$  (lowest clay and soil organic matter content), while being the least in  $S_1$ which has the highest clay and organic matter contents. These results confirmed the high affinity of clay particles to retain Cs [44]as well as soil organic matter[45].

#### **3.2. Effect of EDTA-Na and DTPA applications on Cs**fractions

Implications of soil washing with either EDTA or DTPA on different Cs fractions are represented in Figure 2. Generally, these chelates decrease considerably Cs contents within the different fractions, especially the water soluble, exchangeable and carbonate bond ones. In this concern, the efficiency of DTPA exceeded that of EDTA on decreasing water-soluble and exchangeable fractions of Cs regardless of the soil type or the initial Cs concentrations. It is thought that these two fractions are considered the mobile forms of Cs in soil.

Concerning the carbonate bound fraction of Cs, it was high in  $S_3$  (soil of the highest CaCO<sub>3</sub>) while exhibited comparable low (Anshass) versus the other two soil types.



**Fig. (2)** Fractionation of cesium (means±standard deviations) of the different soils contaminated with 50, 100 and 200 mg Cs kg<sup>-1</sup>(A: Water soluble, B: Exchangeable, C: Carbonate bond, D: Fe-Mn oxides bond, E: Organic matter bond and F: Residual fraction)

Variations within both the organic bound Cs and the residual fractions among the washed and unwashed soils were slight. Nevertheless, the residual fraction in all soils did not exceed 1 mg Cs kg<sup>-1</sup>. May be this fraction was sorbed specifically on clay minerals, especially on the 2:1 clay mineral types, and this type of sorption is irreversible [46]. These clay minerals are characterized by their large surface area and high CEC, .....etc[19].

The total content of Cs in all soils is illustrated in Figure 3. Generally, DTPA decreased this content, especially in the sandy loam soil of relatively low  $CaCO_3$  content whereas the lowest effect appeared due to EDTA-Na application on the clayey soil. Overall, the chelating agents can mobilize effectively metal contaminants [47].

# **3.3. Effect of EDTA-Na and DTPA application on risk assessment code**

The risk assessment code of Cs was calculated in spiked soils (pre and post soil washing with either EDTA-Na or DTPA)and the obtained results are shown in Fig 3 and Table 2. Overall, the pre-washing valuessignify very high risk (RAC >50) except for the heavily textured soil (the clayey )which was contaminated with 100 mg Cs kg<sup>-1</sup> as it exhibited only high Cs risk (49.54). DTPA was, generally,more effective in decreasing RAC than EDTA-Na. After soil washing, the risk assessment code decreased noticeably from very high to medium. Such reductions seemed to beless detectable in the sandy loam soil which exhibited lower CaCO<sub>3</sub> content (Anshass) versus the other two soils.These results therefore agree with that mentioned by [30].



Fig. (3)Effect of washing agents (EDTA-Na and DTPA) on total Cs content (means±standard deviations) in spiked soils



Fig. (4) Risk assessment code (RAC) (means±standard deviations)for soil washed with chelators (EDTA-Na and DTPA)

				Initial Cs (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )		
		Cs50	Cs100	Cs200	Mean	
Soil1	Pre-soil washing	60.541±0.566	49.543±1.012	$68.559 \pm 0.648$	59.547	
	Post- EDTA wash	35.792±0.356	$25.153 \pm 0.941$	46.595±0.278	35.847	
	Post DTPA wash	31.973±0.23	20.079±0.517	47.69±0.81	33.247	
	Mean	42.769	31.591	54.281	42.880	
Soil2	Pre-soil washing	65.702±0.756	72.249±0.729	74.746±0.767	70.899	
	Post DTPA wash	44.711±0.694	$41.945 \pm 1.325$	46.577±0.315	44.411	
	Post DTPA wash	38.801±0.759	$42.669 \pm 0.678$	43.594±0.764	41.688	
	Mean	49.738	52.288	54.973	52.333	
Soil3	Pre-soil washing	56.519±0.714	$68.924 \pm 0.942$	65.763±1.155	63.735	
	Post DTPA wash	27.342±0.533	$30.589 \pm 0.304$	$31.218 \pm 0.585$	29.717	
	Post DTPA wash	25.85±1.121	29.7±0.84	27.286±0.289	27.612	
	Mean	36.571	43.071	41.422	40.355	

Table (2) Risk assessment code (RAC) (means±standard deviations) for soil washed with chelators (EDTA-Na and DTPA).

### Conclusion

Soil washing with DTPA was more efficient in extracting Cs from contaminated soils versus EDTA-Na. Water-soluble, exchangeable and carbonate Cs fractions decreased considerably owing to the leaching protocol, while the organic-bond and residual fractions slightly changed. Mostly soil properties affected extensively the removal efficiency of Cs within the different fractions. In particular, clay and CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents decreased significantly this efficiency. Risk assessment code, which depends on the change of water soluble, exchangeable and carbonate fractions, decreased from very high risk to medium risk for most of the studied soils after washing contaminated soils with the investigated chelating agents. Accordingly, these results guarantee the usage of DTPA and EDTA-Na as efficient chelating agents for soil washing of cesium from contaminated soils.

## References

- [1] Abbas, M.H.H., Bassouny, M., Implications of long term irrigation with wastewater on the contents and retention kinetics of potentially toxic elements in *TypicTorripsamment* soils. Egypt. J. Soil Sci. 58 (3) (2018) 337-357.<u>https://doi.org/10.21608/ejss.2018.4232.1183</u>
- [2]Bassouny, M.A., Abbas, M.H.H.,Monitoring environmental pathways of trace elements in the northern east area of Egypt. Env.Biodiv.Soil Security 4 (2020) 103-121.<u>https://doi.org/ 10.21608</u> /jenvbs.2020.29403.1094
- [3] Bassouny, M., Abbas, M., Mohamed, I., Environmental risks associated with the leakage of untreated wastewater in industrial Areas. Egypt. J. of

Soil Sci. 60(2) (2020) 109-128.<u>https://doi.org/10.21608/ejss.2019.18787.1319</u>

- [4] Abdelhafez, A.A., Abbas, M.H.H., Kenawy, M.H.M., Noureldeen, A., Darwish, H., Ewis, A.M.G., Hamed, M.H., Evaluation of underground water quality for drinking and irrigation purposes in New Valley Governorate, Egypt, Environ. Technol. Innov. 22(2021)101486.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.eti.2021.101486</u>
- [5] Asaad, A.A., El-Hawary, A.M., Abbas, M.H.H., Mohamed, I., Abdelhafez, A.A., Bassouny, M.A. Reclamation of wastewater in wetlands using reed plants and biochar. Sci. Rep. 12 (2022)19516.<u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-24078-9</u>
- [6] Hussein, M., Ali, M., Abbas, M.,Bassouny, M., Effects of industrialization processes in Giza factories (Egypt) on soil and water quality in adjacent territories. Egypt. J. of Soil Sci. 62(3) (2022) 253-266. https://doi.org/10.21608 /ejss.2022.150990.1518
- [7] Mekawi, E.M., Abbas, M.H.H., Mohamed, I., Jahin, H.S., El-Ghareeb, D., Al-Senani, G.M., AlMufarij, R.S., Abdelhafez, A.A., Mansour, R.M.S. Bassouny, M.A.,Potential hazards and health assessment associated with different water uses in the main industrial cities of Egypt, J. Saudi Chem. Soc. 27 (1) (2023) 101587.<u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1016/j.jscs.2022.101587
- [8]ElShazly, A.A.A., Abbas, M.H.H., Farid, I.M., Rizk, M.A., Mohamed, I., Abbas, H.H., Abdelhafez, A.A., Soliman, S.M., Abdel Sabour, M.F., Feasibility of using natural mineral ores for removing Cs and Sr from contaminated water. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.

175 (2019a) 173–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.03.044

- [9] ElShazly, A.A.A., Abbas, M.H.H., Farid, I.M., Rizk, M., Abdelhafez, A.A., Abbas, H.H., Soliman, S.M., Abdel Sabour, M.F., Mohamed, I.,Depthprofile distribution of Cs and its toxicity for canola plants grown on arid rainfed soils as affected by increasing K-inputs, Ecotoxicol.Environ.Saf.183 (2019b) 109529.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.</u> 2019.109529
- [10] Li, X., Xu, G., Xia, M., Liu, X., Fan, F., Dou, J.,Research on the remediation of cesium pollution by adsorption: Insights from bibliometric analysis, Chemosphere 308 (2022) Part 2,136445.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> chemosphere.2022.136445.
- [11] Krolak, E.,Karwowska, J.,Potassium-40 and Cesium-137 in the surface layers of arable soils and food supplies.Polish Journal ofEnvironmental Studies, 19(3) (2010) 599-604.
- [12] Ferreira, P. A., Figueira, R. C., Lopes, Siegle, E., Neto, N. E. A., Martins, C., Schettini, C. F., Maciel, P. M., Garc'ia-Rodriguez, F., Mahiques, M. M. Using a cesium-137 (<sup>137</sup>Cs) sedimentary fallout record in the South Atlantic Ocean as a supporting tool for defining the Anthropocene, Anthropocene 14(2016) 35-

45.<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ancene.2016.06.002</u>

- [13] Kajino, M., Adachi, K., Igarashi, Y., Satou, Y., Sawada, M., Thomas Sekiyama, T., Zaize, Y., Saya, A., Tsuruta. Н., Moriguchi, Y. (2021). Deposition and dispersion of radio-cesium released due to the Fukushima nuclear accident: 2. Sensitivity to aerosol microphysical properties of Cs-(CsMPs), Journal bearing micro-particles of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres
- 126(1) (2021) 1-23.<u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1029/2020JD033460
- [14] Melnikov, P., Zanoni, L.Z., Cesium, therapeutic effects and toxicity. In: Kretsinger, R.H., Uversky, V.N., Permyakov, E.A. (eds) Encyclopedia of Metalloproteins. Springer, New York,NY(2013).<u>https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-1-4614-1533-6 352</u>
- [15] Eun, S., Han, Y.-S., Kim, H., Kim, M., Ryu, J., Park, J.-H., Lim, J.-M., Kim, S. Photoinduced enhancement of <sup>137</sup>Cs removal by NiFe Prussian blue analogue-alginatehydrogel,Separation and Purification Technology, 312(2023)123376, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2023.123376</u>.
- [16] Sotiropoulou, M., Mavrokefalou, G., Florou, H.; Kritidis, P. Determination and mapping of the spatial distribution of cesium-137 in the terrestrial environment of Greece, over a period of 28 years (1998 to 2015). *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 193(2021) 591.<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-021-09325-2</u>

- [17] Abtahi, M., Fakhri, Y., Sarafraz, M., Keramati, H., OliveriConti, G., Ferrante, M., Amanidaz, N., HosseiniPouya, R., Moradi, B., &Baninameh, Z., Removal of cesium through adsorption from aqueous solutions: A systematic review. *Journal of Advances in Environmental Health Research*, 6(2)(2018) 96-106. <u>https://doi.org</u> /10.22102/jaehr.2018.104959.1048
- [18] Park, C.W., Kim, S.-M., Kim, I., Yoon, I.-H., Hwang, J., Kim, J.-H., Yang, H.-M., Seo, B.K. Sorption behavior of cesium on silt and clay soil fractions, Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 233(2021) 106592,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2021.106592

- [19]Abdel-Sabour, M. F. Fate of cesium and strontium in soil-to-plant system (Overview). J. Rad. Nucl. Appl. 7, No. 2(2022) 78-93. <u>https://doi.org/10.18576/jrna/070210</u>.
- [20] Ogura S., Suzuki T., Saito M., Distribution of radioactive cesium in soil and its uptake by herbaceous plants in temperate pastures with different management after the Fukushima Dai-Ichi Nuclear Power Station accident. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 60(2014)790–800.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2014.954269

- [21]Kim, J., Kim, S., Yoon, I.,Yang, H.and Kim, I., Novel two-step process for remediation of Cscontaminated soil assisted by magnetic composites. Chemical Engineering Journal. 424(2021) 130554.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.130554</u>
- [22] Syaifudin, M., Suzuki, M., Maruyama, H., Kubo, K., Watanabe, T., Shinano, T. (2023) Potassium applications reduced cesium uptake and altered strontium translocation in soybean plants, Soil Science Plant Nutrition69(2) (2023) 88-98 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2023.2166776</u>
- [23] Burger, A., Lichtscheidl, I., Stable and radioactive cesium: a review about distribution in the environment, uptake and translocation in plants, plant reactions and plants' potential for bioremediation, Science of the Total Environment618 (2018) 1459– 1485.
- [24] Mishra, S., Arae, H., Sorimachi, A., Hosoda, M., Tokonami, S., Ishikawa, T.Sahoo, S. K., Distribution and retention of Cs radioisotopes in soil affected by Fukushima nuclear plant accident. J. Soils Sediments15 (2015) 374–380.<u>https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11368-014-0985-2</u>
- [25] Manaka, T., Ohashi, S., Ogo, Otsuka, Y. and Furusawa, H., Sorption and desorption experiments using stable cesium: considerations for radiocesium retention by fresh plant residues in Fukushima forest soils,J.Radioanal.Nucl. Chem.329 (2021)213– 224.https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-021-07749-1
- [26]Koarashi, J., Nishimura, S., Atarashi-Andoh, M., Muto, K., Matsunaga, T., A new perspective on

the <sup>137</sup>Cs retention mechanism in surface soils during the early stage after the Fukushima nuclear accident,Sci. Rep. **9** (2019)7034.https://doi.org/<u>10.1038/s41598-019-</u> 43499-7

[27] Shao, H., Wei, Y., Li, F., Fixation capability of recycling materials as potential additives for cesium immobilization in contaminated forest soil. J.Radioanal.Nucl.Chem. **319**, 315–326 (2019).<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-018-6353-8</u>

- [28] Kim, J.H., Anwer, H., Kim, Y.S., Park, J.-W., Decontamination of radioactive cesium-contaminated soil/concrete with washing and washing supernatant– critical review, Chemosphere 280(2021) 130419.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.1</u> 30419.
- [29] Yousaf, U., Khan, A.H.A., Farooqi, Muhammad, <u>A.Y.S., Barros, R., Tamayo-Ramos, J.A., Iqbal,</u> <u>M., Yousaf, S., Interactive effect of biochar and compost with Poaceae and Fabaceae plants on remediation of total petroleum hydrocarbons in crude oil contaminated soil, Chemosphere 286(2) (2022) 131782.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.1 31782</u>
- [30] Putra, R. S., Amalia, A., Jannah, N., Assessing the effect of weak and strong acids as electrolytes in the removal of cesium by soil electrokineticremediation.Indonesian J. Chem. 21(2021) 118.<u>https://doi.org/10.22146/ijc.53283</u>.
- [31] Chu, C.Y., Ko, T.H., Evaluation of acid leaching on the removal of heavy metals and soil fertility in contaminated soil, J. Chem., 2018(2018) 1-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155 /2018/5036581</u>
- [32] Sawai, H., Rahman,I.M.M, Lu, C., Begum, Z. A., Saito, M., Hasegawa, H., Extractive decontamination of cesium-containing soil using a biodegradable aminopolycarboxylatechelator Microchemical Journal. 134(2017)230-236. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.microc.2017.06.010</u>.
- [33] Park, C. W., Kim, B. H., Yang, H., Seo, B., Moon, J. and Lee, K., Removal of cesium ions from clays by cationic surfactant intercalation, Chemosphere 168 (2017) 1068-1074. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.10.102.
- [34] Pinto, I.S.S., Neto, I.F.F., Soares, H.M.V.M., Biodegradable chelating agents for industrial, domestic, and agricultural applications—a review. Environ.Sci.Pollut. Res. 21(2014) 11893– 11906. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11356-014-2592-6
- [35] Tjahaja, P.I., Sukmabuana, P. Roosmini, D., The EDTA amendment in phytoextraction of <sup>134</sup>Cs from soil by Indian Mustard (Brassica juncea), Inter. J. Phytoremediation 17 (10) (2015) 951-956. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2013.783554</u>
- [36] Alazzaz, A., Rafique, M.I., Al-Swadi, H., Ahmad, M., Alsewaileh, A.S., Usman, A.R.A., Al-Wabel,

M.I., Al-Farraj, A.S.F., Date palm-magnetized biochar for *in-situ* stabilization of toxic metals in mining-polluted soil: evaluation using single-step extraction methods and phytoavailability, International Journal of Phytoremediation

(2023) <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2023.21876</u> 33

- [37] Estefan, G.; Sommer, R. and Ryan, J., Methods of soil, plant and water analysis: A manual for the West Asia and North Africa regions, International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), 3rd Ed(2013).
- [38] Tessier, A.P., Campbell, P., Bisson., M., Sequential extraction procedure for the speciation of tracemetals. Anal. Chem. 51 (1979) 844-851.<u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ac50043a017</u>
- [49] Salman S. A., Abou El-Anwar E.A., Asmoay A.S., Mekky, H.S., Abdel Wahab W. Elnazer A.A., Chemical fractionation and risk assessment of some heavy metals in soils, Assiut Governorate, Egypt, Egypt. J. Chem. 64(7) (2021) 3311 – 3321.<u>https://doi.org/10.21608/EJCHEM.2021.59371. 3276</u>
- [40] Asmoay, A.S.A., Salman, S.A., El-Gohary, A.M., Sabet, H. S., Evaluation of heavy metal mobility in contaminated soils between Abu Qurqas and Dyer Mawas Area, El Minya Governorate, Upper Egypt. Bull Natl Res Cent 43(2019) 88. https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-019-0133-7
- [41]Song, H., Chung, H., Nam, K., Effect of monovalent and divalent ion solutions as washing agents on the removal of Sr and Cs from soil near a nuclear power plant, J. Hazard.Mater.412(2021) 125165, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125165</u>.
- [42] Tatsuno, T., Hamamoto, S., Nihei, N., Nishimura, T., Effects of the dissolved organic matter on Cs transport in the weathered granite soil, J. Environ. Management, 254 (2020) 109785, <u>https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jenvman.2019.109785</u>.
- [43] Smičiklas, I.,Šljivić-Ivanović, M.,Radioactive contamination of the soil: assessments of pollutants mobility with implication to remediation strategies. In Larramendy, M. L., SoloneskiS. (Eds.), Soil Contamination - Current Consequences and Further Solutions.IntechOpen.https://doi.org/10.5772/64735
- [44]Ohnuma, T., Ishii, K.,Study of soil particles contaminated with radioactive cesium in pond sediment. Cogent Engineering, 6(2019) 1662573.<u>https://doi.org/10.1080/23311916.2019.166</u> 2573
- [45] Fan, Q. H., Tanaka, M., Tanaka, K., Sakaguchi, A. and Takahashi, Y. An EXAFS study on the effects of natural organic matter and the expandability of clay minerals on cesium adsorption and mobility,

Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta,135 (2014) 49-65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.02.049</u>

- [46] Kim, S.I..Song J.S.A., Study on the evaluation of cesium removal performance in soil washing process using flocculating agent.J.Radioanal.Nucl. Chem.316 (2018) 1227–1232. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10967-018-5879-0</u>
- [47] Kikawada, Y., Hirose, M., Tsukamoto, A.,KoNakamachi, K, Oi, T., Honda, T., Takahashi, H. Hirose, K., Mobility of radioactive cesium in soil originated from the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear disaster: application of extraction experiments. J.Radioanal.Nucl.Chem.304(2015) 27 31.https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-014-3713-x