

Study of Periostin, SerpinB2 and CLCA1 Gene Expression as Potential Predictors of Corticosteroid Therapy Response in Childhood Asthma

I.A.M.Aly¹, A.A.Sobeih¹, R.F.Salem² and D.A.Mohammed¹

¹Pediatrics Dept., Faculty of medicine, Benha Univ., Benha, Egypt

²Biochemistry Dept., Faculty of medicine, Benha Univ., Benha, Egypt

E-Mail: abdelr7mn35@gmail.com

Abstract

Currently, systemic corticosteroid treatment is considered the most effective medication for control of chronic asthma and rescue of acute exacerbation. Children can have poorly controlled asthma for numerous reasons. It is important to identify the underlying causes that contribute to poorly controlled asthma in each patient so that management strategies can be personalized to achieve the best outcomes. We have identified a biological basis for poor corticosteroid treatment response that can be used to distinguish a subgroup of children with asthma who respond poorly to treatment. nasal Periostin, SerpinB2 and CLCA1 Gene expression might be a clinically useful biomarker to identify a subset of children with difficult-to-treat asthma with a biologic cause for poor corticosteroid response. Targeting Periostin, SerpinB2 and CLCA1 pathways in this subset might be a useful therapeutic strategy to enhance corticosteroid response.

1. Introduction

Prologue. Asthma influences 25.7 million persons in the United States, including 7 million youngsters [1]. In spite of the fact that asthmatic patients share similar clinical symptoms, those infections may be heterogeneous [2]. This heterogeneity contributes to the challenge of diagnosing and treating asthma. Almost two-thirds of asthmatic youngsters reported at least one asthma attack in the previous year [3]. Highlighting those suboptimal administrations of adolescent asthma [4]. The recurrence of asthma attacks and incomplete response to asthma medication need to be evaluated to make 40% of patients achieve 70% control [5]. Currently, systemic corticosteroid medicine will be acknowledged as the most viable solution for control of chronic asthma and rescue of acute exacerbation. Transcriptional profiling of individual group reactions is a fundamental and basic next step with exceptional comprehension of this individual variability and identifying biomarkers from systemic corticosteroid medicine reaction. This approach has not been utilized effectively to arrange subphenotypes of asthma, including medication response phenotypes [6-9]. Past investigations have regularly utilized specimens requiring bronchoscopy or induced sputum collection, which is not constantly attainable in clinical practice, particularly in previously healthy youngsters with an acute asthma exacerbation (AAE). In the available literature, we utilized genome-wide expression profiling of nasal epithelial cells with distinguishing genes with transient expression patterns (before and after treatment) that reliably and dependably discriminated the response to systemic corticosteroid medication in children hospitalized for asthma exacerbations. Nasal epithelial cells can be promptly sampled securely throughout an asthma attack [10]. What's more, reflector changes in the bronchial airway epithelium for asthmatic youngsters [11]. We distinguished also replicated genes periostin, SerpinB2 and CLCA1, the mRNA signature for which consistently discriminated the response to systemic corticosteroid medicine.

2. Methods

2.1 Subjects

Then afterward regulate Audit table approval, youngsters provided for a analysis of asthma. In those period for 5 on 18 a considerable length of time who introduced to the Benha college healing facility crisis Branch (ED) for an AAE were recruited. Of the 40 subjects consented, 21 were hospitalized for asthma exacerbation, also 15 required genome-wide mRNA outflow information to both run through focuses. These 15 patients were utilized similarly as a disclosure accomplice will test those affiliations in the middle of gene interpretation and systemic corticosteroid medication reaction. An answer companion from claiming 25 know youngsters hospitalized to asthma were recruited to further accept the discoveries from those findings.

Subjects gave demographic, environmental, asthma trigger, and furthermore personal information. Furthermore, we assessed susceptibility to asthma history information. Parental report card about current inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) controller prescription (eg, mometasone, fluticasone, beclomethasone dipropionate,

fluticasone/salmeterol, mometasone/formoterol and budesonide/formoterol) might have been additionally gathered. We evaluate benchmark asthma manifestation seriousness. Furthermore, control, an respiratory side effect score might have been ascertained (based on recurrence of wheeze, cough, shortness of breath, and midsection tightness) [12] and the age-specific asthma control test score might have been gathered. [13].

2.2 Treatment protocol and treatment response definitions

Enrolled patients were treated according to the CCHMC evidence-based treatment protocol for inpatient asthma exacerbations [14-16]. The admitting physician determined the initial interval of albuterol treatments, which were subsequently spaced based on physician or respiratory therapist assessments. Patients received 2mg/kg/d prednisone while hospitalized, and ICSs were continued through a mouthpiece. Length of stay (LOS) was calculated as the number of hours from the

time the admission decision was made to the time the subject met clinical discharge criteria. Good responders were defined as those with an LOS of 24 hours or less, and poor responders were defined as those with an LOS of greater than 24 hours.

2.3 Nasal epithelial cell sample collection and processing

Nasal epithelial samples were collected at 2 time points from each subject (1) in the ED (S0) and (2) on the inpatient floor 18 to 24 hours after receiving corticosteroids in the ED (S1). Nasal samples collected contained more than 90% epithelial cells.[10] Expression profiles were generated on the Affymetrix Human Gene 1.0 ST platform. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was used to validate and replicate candidate genes.

3. Statistical analysis

3.1 Detection of differentially expressed genes in the discovery set

To identify candidate genes, we performed sequential filtering to balance concerns of type I and II errors. First, we sought to identify genes reliably expressed in nasal cells (raw signal >100 in at least 2 samples). Next, we sought to identify genes responsive to treatment ($S1/S0 > 1.5$ or $S1/S0 < 0.66$; $S1/S0$ is defined as gene expression at S1 relative to that at S0). Then we identified genes with significant differences in $S1/S0$ ratio between the good and poor responder groups.

A P value threshold of .05 was used because independent replication samples and complementary biologic studies minimize the risk of false-positive discovery to minimize the risk of missing true associations. We then identified those genes with a high rate of prediction accuracy (>0.80) through linear discriminant analysis. To validate these results, we performed qRT-PCR.

3.2 Microarray data analysis

Microarray cell image files were analyzed with Gene Spring GX software. Probe-level measurements were subject to initial background correction and normalization by using GC-robust multi-array average. Transcript levels were normalized per chip to the 50th percentile and per gene to median intensity.

3.3 Association testing

In the discovery phase we used t tests (with log transformation) to identify genes between good and poor responders.

Linear discriminant analysis [17] was applied to find genes that best discriminated between good and poor responders. For replication, we first examined whether there were differences between the discovery and replication cohorts that might introduce bias. Time of admission was significantly different between the discovery and replication cohorts. Thus we matched our replication cohort to the discovery cohort based on month and S0 and S1 times by using propensity scores [18]. Importantly, gene expression profiles were not considered in the matching process. After matching, we performed t tests comparing the quantitative PCR results from good and poor responders. A linear regression model was fitted to examine the association between the Periostin, SerpinB2 and CLCA1 mRNA expression change ($S1/S0$) and the continuous length of hospital stay (in hours) in the combined discovery and replication cohorts.

4. Results

4.1 Subjects

The discovery and replication cohorts were primarily male Table (1). The discovery cohort was older than the replication cohort, but within each cohort, there was no difference in age between the good and poor responders. There were no differences in individual parent-reported asthma triggers (data not shown), mean baseline respiratory symptom frequency scores, asthma control scores, or proportions of patients presenting to the ED while receiving a controller medicine between the discovery and replication cohorts Table (1). By design, the discovery and replication cohorts were similar with respect to month admitted, S0 time, and S1 time Table (1). Demographics and clinical features were also compared between the good and poor responders, and no differences were detected Table (2).

The baseline average respiratory symptom score represents the average number of times per week the patient had coughing, wheezing, shortness of breath, or chest tightness, pain, or both. A score was assigned for each of the 4 symptoms, and then an average was taken. A higher score represents higher symptom frequency. The values of the scores for each symptom are as follows: 0, never; 1, less than 1 time per week; 2, 1 to 2 times per week; 3, 3 to 5 times per week; and 4, 6 to 7 times per week.

Table (1) Description of the discovery, and replication cohort

	Discovery cohort (n= 15)	Replication cohort (n = 25)	P value*
Age (y), mean (SD)	13.4 (3.8)	8.1 (2.8)	.0001_
Age range (y)	7.4-18.0	5.0-15.1	
Male sex (%)	73.3	64.0	.73§
Admission month, range	April-December	March-November	—
S0 sample time (24 h)	9.3-20.8	10.0-21.4	—
S1 sample time (24 h)	8.1-16.7	8.5-17.3	—
ACT score (SD)	16.0 (2.5)	16.0 (4.4)	.98_

Table (1) Continue

Baseline average respiratory symptom score (median) (SD)	1.5 (0.5)	1.8 (0.9)	.18_ 1.8 (0.8)
Presenting to ED on ICS controller medicine (%)	26.7	32.0	1.00§

ACT, Asthma Control Test.

*Comparison between discovery and replication cohort populations.

-Student t test. -Mann-Whitney U test. -Fisher exact test.

Table (2) Demographics and clinical features of good and poor responders

Good responders (n = 21)	Poor responders (n =19)	P value*
Age (y), mean (SD)	10.2 (4.2)	9.9 (4.2) .79_
Male sex (%)	70.0	69.7 .98_
Admission month range	March-December	February-November —
S0 sample time (24 h)	10.0-20.5	9.3-21.4 —
S1 sample time (24 h)	9.8-14.8	7.7-17.6 —
ACT score (SD)	15.9 (4.0)	16.2 (3.3) .95_
Baseline average respiratory symptom score, median (SD)	1.7 (0.8)	1.7 (0.7) .55_
Presenting to ED on ICS controller medicine (%)	35.0	36.4 .92_

For a definition of the baseline average respiratory symptom score, see the footnote for Table (1).

*Comparison between good and poor responders.

_x2 Test. _Mann-Whitney U test.

4.2 Identification of genes differentially expressed between good and poor responder groups in the discovery cohort

We used a multistep filtering process to identify genes. Starting with more than 20,000 genes, we identified 8 genes that were nominally significant ($P < .05$) and had a prediction accuracy of 0.80 or greater. Of these 8 genes, qRT-PCR expression of superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD2), tyrosine protein kinase (HCK), serglycin (SRGN), Periostin, SerpinB2 and CLCA1 was significantly induced at S1 in the good compared with the poor responder groups. CD300A was not detectable in most samples, and reliable results could not be achieved for lymphocyte cytosolic protein 2 (LCP2), formyl peptide receptor 1 (FPR1), and low-affinity immunoglobulin g Fc region receptor III-A (FCGR3A) because of low copy numbers.

4.3 Periostin, SerpinB2 and CLCA1 mRNA expression change predicts corticosteroid treatment response in the replication cohort

To substantiate our findings, we recruited an independent prospective cohort to serve as a replication. High baseline mRNA expression of Periostin, SerpinB2 and CLCA1 occur in the good responder group compared with that seen in the poor responder group, replicating our findings from the discovery cohort. Expression of SOD2, HCK, and SRGN was not significantly different between the treatment response groups (data not shown).

To evaluate whether the observed Periostin, SerpinB2 and CLCA1 mRNA expression change was attributable to a baseline difference in Periostin, SerpinB2 and CLCA1

mRNA expression at s0, we compared Periostin, SerpinB2 and CLCA1 expression at S0 of all patients; no significant difference was detected. To test whether the baseline ICS exposure was a confounding factor for the corticosteroid treatment response, we compared the proportion of subjects who presented to the ED while receiving ICSs between the good and poor responders; no significant difference was detected Table (2).

5. Discussion

Following regulate survey table approval, Youngsters provided for a analysis of asthma toward those aged 5 to 18 from the Benha school clinic crisis section (ED) with an AAE were recruited. Of the 40 subjects consented, 21 were hospitalized to asthma exacerbation, Furthermore 15 required genome-wide mRNA expression information to both occasions when focused. These 15 patients were utilized concerning illustration. An disclosure companion to test those companionship between gene interpretation. Also systemic corticosteroid medication reaction. A answer associate from claiming 25 know youngsters hospitalized for asthma were recruited should further accept those discoveries starting with the finding associate.

Subjects Gave demographic, environmental, asthma trigger, Also individual Furthermore crew unfavorable susceptibility. Also asthma history information. Parental report card of present breathed in corticosteroid (ICS) controller solution (eg, mometasone, fluticasone, beclomethasone dipropionate, fluticasone/salmeterol, mometasone/formoterol and budesonide).

nide/formoterol might have been also gathered. On assess benchmark asthma manifestation seriousness Also control, a respiratory manifestation score might have been ascertained (based ahead recurrence of wheeze, cough, shortness about breath, and midsection tightness), [12] and the age-specific asthma control test score might have been gathered. [13].

References

- [1] L.J. Akinbami, J.E. Moorma, C.Bailey, Trends in asthma prevalence, health care use, and mortality in the United States. NCHS Data Brief., Vol.94,PP.1-8,2010.
- [2] E.H.Bel, Mild asthma. N Engl J Med., vol.369. PP.2362, 2013
- [3] B.Fassl, F.Nkoy, B.Stone, R.Srivastava, The Joint Commission Children's Asthma Care quality measures and asthma readmissions. Pediatrics., Vol.130. PP.482-91, 2012.
- [4] L.Akinbami, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Health Statistics. The state of childhood asthma, United States, Adv Data., Vol.381, PP.1-24, 2006.
- [5] J.M. Drazen, E.K. Silverman, T.H. Lee.
- [6] Heterogeneity of therapeutic responses in asthma. Br Med Bull., Vol.56, PP.1054-70, 2000.
- [7] P.G. Woodruff, H.A. Boushey, G.M. Dolganov, Genome-wide profiling identifies epithelial cell genes associated with asthma and with treatment response to corticosteroids. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A., Vol.104, PP.15858-63, 2007.
- [8] P.G. Woodruff, B. Modrek, D.F. Choy, G. Jia, T-helper type 2-driven inflammation defines major subphenotypes of asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med., Vol.180, PP.388-95, 2009.
- [9] Y. Huang, A.K. Zaas, A. Rao, N. Dobigeon, Temporal dynamics of host molecular responses differentiate symptomatic and asymptomatic influenza A infection. PLoS Genet., Vol.7, 2011.
- [10] K.J. Baines, Baines J.L. Baines., L.G. Wood., R.J. Scott, Sputum gene expression signature of 6 biomarkers discriminates asthma inflammatory phenotypes. J Allergy Clin Immunol. Vol., 133, PP.997-1007, 2014.
- [11] J.R. Guajardo, K.W. Schleifer, M.O. Daines, Altered gene expression profiles in nasal respiratory epithelium reflect stable versus acute childhood asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol. Vol.115, PP.243-51, 2005.
- [12] A. Poole, C. Urbanek, C. Eng, Dissecting childhood asthma with nasal transcriptomics distinguishes subphenotypes of disease. J Allergy Clin Immunol., Vol.133, PP.670-8, 2014.
- [13] M. Butsch Kovacic, J.M. Biagini Myers, M. Lindsey, The Greater Cincinnati Pediatric Clinic Repository: a novel framework for childhood asthma and allergy research. Pediatr Allergy Immunol Pulmonol. Vol.25, PP.104-13, 2012.
- [14] A. H. Liu, R. S. Zeiger, C. A. Sorkness, N.K. Ostom, The Childhood Asthma Control Test: retrospective determination and clinical validation of a cut point to identify children with very poorly controlled asthma., Vol.126, 2010.
- [15] J. Allergy Clin Immunol, Evidence-based care guideline for management of acute exacerbation of asthma in children aged 0 to 18 years. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Available at: <http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=524528>. Accessed September 16, 2010.
- [16] S.E. Muething, Improving patient outcomes by standardizing care. JPediatr., Vol.147, pp568-70, 2005.
- [17] W.E. Gerhardt, Schoettker PJ, Donovan EF, Putting evidence-based clinical practice guidelines into practice: an academic pediatric center's experience. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf., Vol.33, pp226-35, 2007.
- [18] B.D. Ripley, Pattern recognition and neural networks. Cambridge (United Kingdom), The Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge., Vol.45., 1996.
- [19] P.C. Austin, An introduction to propensity score methods for reducing the effects of confounding in observational studies. Multivariate Behav Res., Vol.46, PP.399-424, 2011.
- [20] J. Bousquet, E. Mantzouranis, A.A. Cruz, Uniform definition of asthma severity, control, and exacerbations: document presented for the World Health Organization Consultation on Severe Asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol., Vol.126, PP.926-38, 2010.
- [21] M.C. Bell, W.W. Busse, Severe asthma: an expanding and mounting clinical challenge. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. Vol.1, pp110-22, 2013.
- [22] D. Desai, C. Brightling, Cytokine and anti-cytokine therapy in asthma: ready for the clinic? Clin Exp Immunol., Vol.158, PP.10, 2009.
- [23] J. Corren, R.F. Lemanske, N.A. Hanania, Lebrikizumab treatment in adults with asthma. N Engl J Med. Vol.365, PP.1088-98, 2011.
- [24] S. Wenzel, L. Ford, D. Pearlman, S. Spector, Dupilumab persistent asthma with elevated eosinophil levels. N Engl J Med., Vol.368, PP.2455-66, 2013.
- [25] L. Gillan, D. Matei, D.A. Fishman, Cancer Res., Vol.62, pp5358-5364, 2002.
- [26] S. Bao, G. Ouyang, X. Bai, Z. Huang, Cancer Cell., Vol.5, PP.329-339, 2004.
- [27] R. Shao, S. Bao, X. Bai, C. Blanchette, Mol Cell Biol. Vol.24, PP.3992-4003, 2004
- [28] R.A. Norris, B. Damon, V. Mironov, J. Cell Biochem., Vol. 101, PP.695-711, 2007.
- [29] G. Takayama, K. Arima, T. Kanaji, S. Toda, J Allergy Clin Immunol. Vol. 118, PP.98-104, 2006.
- [30] R.L. edcalf, S.J. Stasinopoulos, FEBS J., Vol. 272, PP.4858-4867, 2006.
- [31] S.S. Wagers, L.M. Rinaldi, J.H. Bates, J Clin Invest. Vol.114, PP.104-111, 2004.
- [32] A. Nakanishi, S. Morita, H. Iwashita et al. Role of gob-5 in mucus overproduction and airway hyper

- responsiveness in asthma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*., Vol.98, PP.5175–5180, 2001.
- [33] A.D. Gruber., R.C. Elble., H.L.Ji, Genomic cloning, molecular characterization, and functional analysis of human CLCA1, the first human member of the family of Ca^{2+} activated Cl^- channel proteins. *Genomics*., Vol. 54, PP. 200–214, 1998
- [34] M. Agnel., T.V. ermat, J.M. Culouscou, Identification of three novel members of the calcium-dependent chloride channel (CaCC) family predominantly expressed in the digestive tract and trachea. *FEBS*. Vol.455., PP.295–301, 1999.
- [35] H.P. Hauber, J.J. Manoukian, L.H. Nguyen, Increase dexpression of interleukin-9, interleukin-9 receptor, and the calcium-activated chloride channel hCLCA1 in the upper airways of patients with cystic fibrosis. *Laryngoscope*., Vol.113, PP. 1037–1042, 2003.
- [36] M.Hoshino, S. Morita, H. Iwashita, Increased expression of the human Ca^{2+} -activated Cl^- channel 1 (CaCC1) gene in the asthmatic airway. *Am.J.RespirCritCareMed*., Vol.165, PP. 1132–1136, 2002.