Removal of Acid Blue 342 from Aqueous Solution by Fe₂O₃/Fe₃O₄ Magnetic Nanocomposite
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Abstract

The synthesis, characterization and capacity studies of fabricated magnetic Fe₂O₃/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposites capable of adsorbing with Acid Blue 342 dye (AB) were reported. The product was characterized by FT-IR, XRD, SEM and TEM techniques. The crystallite size calculated using the Scherrer formula for the fabricated nanocomposite was about 22.38 nm. The results of SEM analysis show rough surfaces and many visible fluffy mesoporous. The adsorption properties of the Fe₂O₃/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposites for the removal of Acid Blue 342 dye (AB) were examined. By using a batch method, various parameters affecting the adsorption properties were studied. The results revealed that Fe₂O₃/Fe₃O₄ nanostructure gave high adsorption capacity equal 39.45 mg/g. The equilibrium isotherm, kinetics parameters of the adsorption process were also calculated. The study indicated that the pseudo second-order adsorption mechanism is predominate and that the overall rate of the AB dye adsorption process was controlled by the chemisorption process.
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1. Introduction

The flow of a large number of contaminants in wastewater, including organic and inorganic pollutants such as pesticides, dyes, heavy metals, and other toxins, is mostly due to rising population and rapid industrialization [1-3]. Direct discharge of partly or untreated wastewater into surface water bodies poses a significant challenge for humans, providing considerable environmental concerns that affect the food chain and, as a result, human health via bio-accumulation and bio-magnification [4-6]. To prevent or limit the harmful impacts of water pollutants, many tactics and procedures for the destruction or removal of water pollutants are being studied [7-10].

Synthetic dyes and pigments are the most prevalent of the most dangerous organic pollutants. Organic compounds such as these, which are mostly produced by the discharge of food colorants, textiles, paper industries, printing, and other industries, can cause serious environmental problems. An example of these dyes, acid blue 342 which is a textile dye mainly used for wool, polyamide, silk dyeing and printing. It is an extremely hazardous, and excessive exposure to it causes a variety of health issues, including eye and skin irritations. [11].

Due to the limitation of water resources, one of the most pressing needs is the development of effective wastewater treatment techniques. Adsorption is thought to be environmentally friendly, highly effective, cost-efficient, and suited for practical applications [12]. Numerous materials, such as activated carbons (AC) [13], chitosan [14, 15], and mesoporous SiO₂ [16, 17], have been widely applied for the removal of organic dyes. The utility of adsorption phenomena could be expanded by using nanomaterials. Many researchers have been interested in wastewater as a result of recent advances in nanotechnology. Nanomaterial systems have numerous advantages, including the ability to be reused, ease of preparation, and great efficiency [18].

Various types of nanomaterials have recently been reported by various researchers. Nanoscale metal oxide, metal-based nanoparticles, polymer nanoparticles, zeolites, carbon-based nanomaterials, biopolymers, and metal-based nanoparticles have all been employed [19-21]. Amongst them is, metal oxide nanoparticles such as titanium dioxide (TiO₂), cerium oxide (CeO₂), zinc oxide (ZnO) and iron oxide (Fe₂O₃/Fe₃O₄), exhibiting a great adsorbent capacity for water purification [19]. Magnetic nanocomposites (MNPs) are a new branch of nanotechnology which are characterized by a large specific surface area and short diffusion paths. Nanoparticles could be modified by functional groups on the surface [22-24]. Additionally, using an external magnetic field facilitates the separation of magnetic nanoparticles after treatment process [25-27].

Numerous types of MNPs have been fabricated and utilized for the purposes of water treatment [28-30], with chemical properties, varying sizes, and surface morphologies. Furthermore, the magnetic properties of these nanomaterials improve their ability to be removed from polluted media and also enhance their ability to be regenerated and reused [31, 32]. These MNPs act through three main steps, (i) pollutant migration to the adsorbent surface, (ii) binding to the surface of the adsorbent, and (iii) moving with the adsorbent.

As a result, the purpose of this research is to investigate the adsorption capacity of a textile dye Acid Blue 342 onto MNPs of Fe₂O₃/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite. The optimization of the process is discussed based on the effects of different factors like contact time, dye concentration, value of pH and adsorbent dose on the adsorption capacity.

2. Experimental

Materials and Reagents

All materials and reagents in the current study were used as received without further purification since they were of analytical grade. Ferrous sulfate heptahydrate [FeSO₄·7H₂O, M.Wt (278.01 g/mole)] (Merk). Hexahydrated ferric chloride [FeCl₃·6H₂O, M.Wt (270.3 g/mole)], sodium hydroxide [NaOH, M.Wt (40 g/mole) and Acid Blue 324 dye (AB 342) (Elnasr for chemicals, Egypt), Fig. (1).
Preparation of Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_7$O$_4$ MNPs

Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_7$O$_4$ nanocomposite was fabricated by using the coprecipitation method where, 8.4 g FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O was mixed and dissolved with 12.2 g FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O in 200 mL distilled water and furthermore the solution was stirred for 4 h [33]. At the same time, 50.0 mL of 6.5 M NaOH was progressively added to that mixture, forming a black nanosized precipitate that was then washed numerous times with distilled water. After that, an external magnetic field was used to collect the precipitate in its pure state, which was then dried in a 70 °C oven.

3. Results and discussion

Characterization of MNPs

Zero of point charge (ZPC) of the nanocomposite

The Point of Zero Charge is the pH at which a solid surface has a net neutral charge (PZC). PZCs are one of the most important characteristics of an adsorbing solid or one with a pH-dependent surface charge, and they are closely linked to adsorbed qualities and adsorbing system applications [34]. The pH$_{pzc}$ value was determined through the pH drift method [35]. The net surface charge of the adsorbent is positive at pH lower than pH$_{pzc}$, resulting in electrostatic repulsion with cationic species and perhaps inhibiting their adsorption from aqueous solutions. Due to the acidic dissociation of H$^+$ ions at pH levels greater than pH$_{pzc}$, the surface charge of adsorbents has a net negative charge, favouring the absorption of positively charged species and repelling negatively charged sorbing species. The shift in pH of the aqueous dispersion (practical pH) is plotted against the initial pH (theoretical pH) values, the pH$_{pzc}$ value is defined as the point where the pH$_{initial}$ to pH$_{final}$ curve and the pH$_{initial}$−pH$_{final}$ line intersect. The pH$_{pzc}$ value of the Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_7$O$_4$ adsorbent was found to be around 6.45, consequently, it is preferred to remove anionic dye at pH < 6.45 to ensure that the net surface of the nanocomposite being positive, resulting in its affinity to attract anions (Fig. 2). At pH above 6.45, the solid surface will be negatively charged, resulting in the repulsion of anions [36].

XRD study of Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_7$O$_4$ nanoparticles

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns obtained by an 18 kW diffractometer (Bruker; model D8 Advance) with monochromated Cu-K radiation (=1.54178 Å) were used to analyse the phase purity and structure of the as-synthesised Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_7$O$_4$ nanocomposite. Maghemite/magnetite crystalline peaks were observed (Fig. 3) at 11.03°, 12.56°, 14.87°, 18.31°, 23.70°, 29.96°, 30.27°, 33.90°, 35.30°, 43.25°, 53.54°, 57.16° and 62.72° assigned to the (101), (102), (103), (113), (106), (220), (206), (109), (311), (400), (442), (511) and (440) planes, respectively. All the main peaks can be indexed as both tetragonal maghemite ($γ$ - Fe$_2$O$_3$) and cubic (Fe$_3$O$_4$) (space group: Fd-3 m) which are consistent with the standard pattern for JCPDS card No. (00-015-0615) and JCPDS card No. (00-002-1035), respectively. There were no typical peaks of additional impurity phases found, indicating that the resultant product was of high purity. Using the Scherrer formula, the crystallite size was calculated to be around 22.38 nm.

FT-IR study of Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_7$O$_4$ nanoparticles

The FT-IR spectra of magnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_7$O$_4$ nanocomposites is presented in Fig. 4. The spectrum shows absorption bands at 1642 cm$^{-1}$ and 3120 cm$^{-1}$ which are assigned to O-H stretching mode. As shown in Fig. 4, the absorption band at 572.6 cm$^{-1}$ is related to the stretching vibrations of Fe-O bonds of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Fe$_7$O$_4$ nanoparticles [37].

Morphology study of Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_7$O$_4$ nanoparticles

FE-SEM and HR-TEM images of Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_7$O$_4$ nanoparticles

Morphologies of the as-prepared Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_7$O$_4$ were investigated by using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) (Fig. 5, a) and High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) (Fig. 5, b). SEM is a type of electron microscope that scans a sample with a focused stream of electrons to predict images of it. When electrons contact with atoms in a sample, they produce a variety of signals that may be detected and carry information about the surface topography and composition of the sample. (Fig. 5, a), presents secondary Imaging analysis that was used for observation Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_7$O$_4$ nanoparticles. The results of SEM analysis show rough surfaces and many visible fluffy mesoporous with a wide [38]. As shown in (Fig. 5, b), the composite is composed of dispersed tetragonal and cubic particles which confirm the formation of both tetragonal maghemite ($γ$ - Fe$_2$O$_3$) and cubic (Fe$_3$O$_4$) with an average diameter of 20-30 nm which is compatible with the crystalline size calculated from the XRD studies.

Adsorption experiments

Adsorption is a cost-effective and efficient method for treating wastewater containing chemically stable contaminants such heavy metals. The adsorption properties of the produced adsorbent were examined using (A B) dye as a hazardous contaminant. One of the parameters used to determine which sample is best for harmful dye removal procedure is its adsorption ability. The FT-IR spectra can be used as an evidence for the adsorption process of dyes[39, 40]. Fig. 6 (a, b), depicts the FT-IR spectra of the prepared adsorbents before and after adsorption of (AB) dye. From Fig. 6 (a, b), it is observed that the spectrum of (AB) dye-loaded for the adsorbent differs from that adsorbent before the adsorption, this is probably due to the adsorbed (AB) dye-loaded onto the adsorbent.

Many factors affect (AB) dye outflow from water were investigated, including pH, nanoparticle dose level, temperature, contact time, and heavy metal starting concentration. The adsorption tests have been carried out in batch mode, with (25-100 mg) of adsorbent charged into 25 mL of dye aqueous solution with a 50 mg L$^{-1}$ starting concentration. The sorbent was collected on the inner wall of the glass container by applying a magnet after stirring for a specified amount of time (10-100
minutes). A UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Jasco-670, UV-visible spectrophotometer) was used to quantify the dye concentration in the remaining liquid phase. The removal efficiency (R) was calculated by the following equations:

\[ R = \frac{c_0 - c_t}{c_0} \times 100 \]  
(1)

The uptake of dyes (q, mg/g) at certain time (t, min) was evaluated by the following equation:

\[ q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{W} \]  
(2)

where \( C_0 \) (mg/L) was the initial mass concentration of dyes, \( C_t \) (mg/L) was the dyes concentration at time t, V (L) was the solution volume, and W (g) was the dosage of the sorbent.

**Effect of pH**

The pH of the working solution is a crucial factor in the solid extraction process because it affects the form of adsorbate and its surface charge. The effect of pH was investigated over the pH range 3.6–10.0. As shown in Fig. 7, AB removal reached a maximum value at a pH of 6.3. At pH 3.6, the solution turned to brown as a result of iron oxides forming iron ions [41, 42]. The increase in electrostatic repulsion between the dye and the negative charges produced on the surface of nanoparticles caused a steady drop in the percentage of AB dyes adsorbed as the pH increased [43].

**Effect of contact time and dye concentration**

The effect of contact time on the removal efficiency of AB by Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)/Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs is investigated at different contact time for varying dye concentrations (25, 50, 75, and 100 mg/L). As shown in Fig. (8), it was observed that AB concentration decreases with increasing contact time and after 60 min, dye concentration decreases. With more contact time from 60 to 100 min, the removal efficiency of MNPs increases very slightly. From the obtained result, it was found that the percentage removal of AB is inversely related to dye concentration. This shows that the adsorption process completely depends upon the area of active sites on the solid adsorbent which decreases as the initial concentration increases.

This assumes that the number of active binding sites is adequate to absorb a large number of AB molecules at lower dye concentrations, increasing the removal percent. However, at high AB concentrations, the available sorption sites become fewer, and the MNPs' sorption capacity remains constant, leaving more AB molecules in the solution. On the other hand, the loading capacity of the adsorbent (mg/g) could be evaluated depending on the concentration of the dye and adsorbent dose. The relation between loading capacity and initial dye concentration is presented in Fig. (9). As shown in the figure, the increase of initial dye concentration results in a corresponding dye removal capacity. At the lowest concentration of AB, the removal capacity is 10.62 mg/g, while at 100 mg/L of dye the removal capacity is 39.45 mg/g.

**Effect of adsorbent dose**

The effect of nanoparticles dose on the AB removal efficiency for is shown in Fig. 10. The increase in the decolorization percentage is a function of the amount of adsorbent, due to the increase of the available adsorption sites. This result is in agreement with several other works [44, 45]. In most works dealing adsorption phenomenon, the dose of adsorbents affects the adsorption yield considerably. The removal percent was increased from 75.7 % to 89.3 % as the adsorbent dose increased from 1.0 to 2.5 g/L. At the same time, the removal efficiency (q\(_e\)) was decreased from 37.5 to 17.8 mg/g (Fig. 11).

**Adsorption isotherms**

When the dye removal process achieved equilibrium, different isotherm models were studied to see how AB dye molecules distributed between the aqueous adsorbate and solid adsorbent phases. The Langmuir, Freundlich, and Temkin results are essential because they provide information about the homogeneity/heterogeneity of the synthesised adsorbent as well as the design of various adsorption systems. The results are evaluated and the applicability of the models are generalized by comparing the correlation coefficient (R\(^2\)) of their linear fit.

**Langmuir isotherm**

The Langmuir isotherm [46], which suggests that elimination occurs at specified homogenous sites on the manufactured adsorbent, is extensively utilised in monolayer removal procedures. Equation (1) of the Langmuir model can be written as [46]:

\[ \frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{bq_{max}} + \frac{C_e}{q_{max}} \]  
(3)

Where \( q_{max} \) (mg/g) represents the maximum adsorption capacity of an adsorbent, \( C_e \) is the equilibrium concentration of adsorbate (mg/L), \( q_e \) is the amount of dye adsorbed per gram of the adsorbent at equilibrium (mg/g), and \( b \) is the adsorption coefficient (L/mg). The linearized form of the Langmuir isotherm, assuming monolayer adsorption on a homogeneous adsorbent surface, is illustrated in Fig. 12 (a) for the removal of AB dye. Table 1 contains Langmuir constants and coefficients. The essential parameters of Langmuir isotherm can be represented in term of dimensionless constant separation factor \( R_L \) that is given by Eq. (4):

\[ R_L = \frac{1}{1+bC_0} \]  
(4)

Where \( C_0 \) (mg/L) is the initial concentration of adsorbate. The values of \( R_L \) are found to lie between 0.0056 and 0.0293 indicating that the dye removal is favorable (0 < \( R_L \) < 1) at the operating conditions.

**Freundlich isotherm**

The Freundlich isotherm [47] describes the multilayers adsorption in heterogeneous systems. Freundlich model equation (5) may be represented by:

\[ \ln q_e = \ln k_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e \]  
(5)

Where \( k_F \) and \( n \) are constants and \( q_e \) is the amount of dye adsorbed per gram of adsorbent at equilibrium (mg/g). This model is widely used for reversible
multilayer adsorption in heterogeneous systems with regular energy distribution. Freundlich plot, for the adsorptive removal of AB dye on the synthesized nano-adsorbent, is shown in Fig. 12(b). Further, Table 1 contains Freundlich constants and coefficients. The Constant $n = 1.283$ showing that the removal of AB dye was favorable.

**Temkin isotherm [48]:**
The linearized equation (4) for this model is given as:

$$ q_e = \frac{BnA}{1 + BnC_e} $$

where $B$ (J/mol) and $A$ (L/g) are constants that could be evaluated from the graph of $q_e$ versus $\ln C_e$. The constant $B = RT/b_T$ is a function of adsorption heat and $A$ is the Temkin isotherm equilibrium binding constant which corresponds to the maximum binding energy. $b_T$ is a the Temkin isotherm constant. Temkin isotherm plot, for the adsorptive removal of AB dye on the synthesized nano-adsorbent, is shown in Fig. 12(c).

Further, Table 1 contains Temkin isotherm constants and coefficients showing that the removal of AB dye was favorable. The viability of the adsorption models for the interpretation of the sorption process was investigated by computing the $R^2$ values of the three isotherms. As shown in Table (1), value obtained from the linear form of Langmuir equation is poorly compared with the experimental values. Based on the values if $R^2$, both Freundlich and Temkin models could be applied for the adsorption of AB dye on MNPs.

**Kinetic Parameters**
The study of adsorption kinetics is crucial for predicting the rate at which a pollutant can be removed from aqueous solutions and for understanding the mechanism of sorption events. The adsorption kinetic tests were done in batch mode with 100 mL of 75 mg L$^{-1}$ AB dye solution at 35 °C. Pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models were used to examine the mechanism of dye sorption onto Fe$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs. Pseudo-first-order rate equation is as follows: [49]

$$ \ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln q_e - k_1t $$

where $q_e$ and $q_t$ are the amounts of dye adsorbed (mg/g) at equilibrium and at time $t$ (min) respectively and $k_1$ (min$^{-1}$) is the pseudo-first-order rate constant. Values of $k_1$ were calculated from the plot of $\ln(q_e - q_t)$ versus $t$ (Fig. 13).

**Table (1) Adsorption isotherms parameters of AB on MNPs.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Langmuir</th>
<th>Freundlich</th>
<th>Temkin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$q_m$</td>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>$R_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0326</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table (2) Adsorption isotherms parameters of AB on MNPs.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pseudo-first-ordered model</th>
<th>Pseudo-second-ordered model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$q_e$ (exp) (mg/g)</td>
<td>$K_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.45</td>
<td>27.504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rate equation for Ho’s pseudo-second-order model [50] is given by:

$$ \frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{K_2q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e} $$

(8)

where $k_2$ (g/mg min$^{-1}$) is the pseudo-second-order rate constant and its value was obtained from the plot of $t/q_t$ vs. $t$ (Fig. 14).

The $q$ values experimental from pseudo first-ordered kinetic model were too small compared the calculated Value for AB dye. The experimental value $q_e$ from the pseudo-second-order kinetic model, on the other hand, was rather close to the calculated value. These findings suggested that the pseudo second-order adsorption mechanism is dominant, and that the chemisorption process appears to influence the overall rate of the AB dye adsorption process. The kinetic results were also analyzed by intra-particle diffusion model to gain further insight into the adsorption behavior of the dye on Fe$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. The obtained $k_1$ and $k_2$ values for Fe$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite plus other parameters obtained from the linear form of pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order are listed in Table 2. The rate determining step in liquid/solid adsorption systems can be determined using this approach. A boundary layer or intra-particle diffusion of solute to the solid surface from the bulk of the solution could be the rate limiting step. The prospect of the intra-particle diffusion model is explored by using the modified Weber and Morris [51] equation:

$$ q_t = k_{diff}t^{0.5} + C $$

(9)

where $q_t$ is adsorption capacity at any time $t$ and $k_{diff}$ is the intraparticle diffusion rate constant (mg/g min$^{-1/2}$) and $C$ is the film thickness. The values of $k_{diff}$ and $C$ could be calculated from the slope and intercept of plots of $q_t$ vs. $t^{0.5}$ (Fig. 15). If intra-particle diffusion is the rate limiting step, the plot of $q_t$ vs. square root of time should be a straight line passing through the origin with $C = 0$. The resultant figure (Fig. 15) does not pass the origin in this example, indicating that the overall adsorption process may be mediated by multiple mechanisms including film diffusion, chemical reaction, and intra-particle diffusion [52, 53].
4. Conclusion

A facile nanocomposite of Fe₂O₃/Fe₃O₄ MNPs was synthesized via coprecipitation method. The structure of the as-prepared adsorbents was studied using FT-IR, SEM, TEM, and XRD techniques, and a putative mechanism for nanocomposite production was proposed. After that, the nanocomposite was used as an excellent adsorbent to remove Acid blue colour 342 dye (AB). The experimental data fit well with the pseudo-second-order and Langmuir isotherm models, according to the kinetics and isotherm modelling studies. The maximum capacity of the adsorbent was found to be 45.45 mg/g for AB dye. All obtained results depict that the fabricated Fe₂O₃/Fe₃O₄ MNPs nanocomposite can be used as an effective, easily separable, and reusable adsorbent for the removal of toxic Acid blue dye 342 dye from aqueous solution.

Fig. (1) Chemical structure of Acid Blue 324

Fig. (2) Determination of ZPC of Fe₃O₄/Fe₂O₃ MNPs

Fig. (3) XRD of Fe₃O₄/Fe₂O₃ MNPs
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Fig. (4) FT-IR spectra of Fe3O4/Fe2O3 MNPs

Fig. (5) SEM image (a) and TEM image (b) of Fe3O4/Fe2O3 MNPs
Fig. (6) The FT-IR spectra of the Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_2$O$_3$ before (A) and after (B) the adsorption of (AB).

Fig. (7) Effect of pH on removal of AB by Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_2$O$_3$ MNPs ([AB] = 50 mg/L, Dose = 1 g/L, rpm = 700)

Fig. (8) Effect of contact time on AB removal percent by Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_2$O$_3$ MNPs for different dye concentrations [Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_2$O$_3$ = 1 g/L, pH = 6.3].
Fig. (9) Effect of different dye concentrations on AB removal capacity by Fe$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs for [dose = 1.0 g/L, pH = 6.3].

Fig. (10) Effect of contact time on AB removal by different doses of Fe$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs [AB conc. = 0.1 g/L, pH = 6.3].

Fig. (11) Effect of MNPs on AB removal capacity by Fe$_3$O$_4$ [AB] = 0.1 g/L, pH = 6.3].
Fig. (12) (a-c) Linear plots of Langmuir (a), Freundlich (b) and Temkin isotherm (c) equations for adsorption of AB on \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) MNPs.

\[
y = 0.01x + 0.306 \\
R^2 = 0.9610
\]

\[
y = 0.779x + 1.366 \\
R^2 = 0.9876
\]

\[
y = 16.96x - 12.54 \\
R^2 = 0.9889
\]

Fig. (13) Pseudo-first-order plots for adsorption of AB dye (100 mg/L) on \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) MNPs.

\[
y = -0.0516x + 3.3151 \\
R^2 = 0.9018
\]
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Fig. (14) Pseudo-second-order plots for adsorption of AB dye (100 mg/L) on Fe2O3/Fe3O4 MNPs.

Fig. (15) Intra-particle diffusion model for the adsorption of AB dye onto Fe2O3/Fe3O4 MNPs.
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