Benha Journal of Applied Sciences (BJAS) **print: ISSN 2356–9751**
Vol. (7) Issue (5) (2022), (117-125) **online: ISSN 2356–976x**
http://bjas.journals.ekb.eg

Off-pump technique in CABG surgery and its role in organ protection

S.I.Saad, A.N.Fekry and O.H.El-Sheshtawy
Anesthesiology, Intensive Care, Dept., Faculty of Medicine, Benha Univ., Benha, Egypt
E-mail: omar.hatem@med.kfs.edu.eg

Abstract

Background: The first widely acknowledged surgery for coronary artery disease is a coronary artery bypass graft (CABG), which uses a graft anastomosed between the aorta and the coronary artery distal to the lesion. In the 1960s, the first Off-pump CABG (OPCAB) surgeries were done and documented. When Cardio Pulmonary Bypass (CPB) is avoided, OPCAB might potentially give advantages such as decrease of systemic inflammation response, coagulopathy, renal dysfunction and cerebral impairment. OPCAB has become a well-established operation because of improvements in surgical methods and the development of improved cardiac stabilizing factors, particularly in high-risk patients. Off-pump approach in CABG surgery and its significance in organ preservation are the goals of this research. An OPCAB procedure may reduce in-hospital mortality and morbidity, especially for patients who are at higher risk for complications due to their medical condition.

Keywords: Off-pump technique, CABG, surgery, organ protection.

1. Introduction

The first widely acknowledged surgery for coronary artery disease is coronary artery bypass graft (CABG), which uses a graft anastomosed between the aorta and the coronary artery distal to the lesion. In the 1960s, the first OPCAB surgeries were done and documented. When CPB is avoided, OPCAB might potentially give advantages such as a decrease of systemic inflammation response, coagulopathy, renal dysfunction, and cerebral impairment. As surgical procedures have improved and stronger cardiac stabilizing factors have been developed, OPCAB has become a well-established surgery with positive results, particularly in patients with high risk [1].

It has developed over time as approaches for executing OPCAB have become more sophisticated. Before suction-based stabilizers were developed, adenosine and short-acting -blockers were used to cause the intermittent pharmacologic arrest and severe bradycardia throughout the surgery. Another benefit of this method was that it reduced myocardial oxygen demand, which helped to preserve the heart muscle. With the widespread use of suction-based coronary stabilizers and positioning devices, these techniques have essentially disappeared from clinical practice. Several additional myocardial protection methods that were established early in the OPCAB experience are still used regularly [2].

An off-pump method in CABG surgery is being investigated in this trial to see whether it may help safeguard organs.

Open-heart surgery using the off-pump approach

Performing coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) without the assistance of cardiopulmonary support has grown in popularity during the last decade. A growing awareness of the detrimental consequences of cardiopulmonary bypass and the desire to prevent the broad inflammatory response, multi-organ dysfunction, and neuropsychological problems that may follow has fueled the emergence of off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery (OPCAB). In broad, risk-adjusted, retrospective comparisons across diverse patient groups, increasing clinical experience with OPCAB has permitted examination of outcomes after the surgery and revealed better clinical results [3].

Patient management during OPCAB:

Patients with thoracic architecture that significantly restricts the capacity to rotate the heart, including those with pectus excavatum or left pneumonectomy, should not be given OPCAB because of the risk of cardiogenic shock, recurrent ischemic arrhythmias, and heart failure. Restrictions include intramyocardial coronary arteries, as well as tiny or diffusely calcified distal target vessels. The only way to safely avoid these targets off-pump is with a great deal of prior knowledge and expertise [3].

2. Intraoperative management:

OPCAB may need to be changed to on-pump CABG sometimes owing to hemodynamic instability or arrhythmia caused by displacement of the heart without cardiopulmonary bypass. There was a considerable increase in operational mortality and the incidence of strokes in patients who were switched from OPCAB to on-pump CABG during surgery, according to the annual report of the Japanese Association for Coronary Artery Surgery [5].

A decision-analysis model and a Monte Carlo simulation were used by Shiga et al. to examine the financial and quality-of-life consequences of intraoperative conversion. On-pump CABG expenses skyrocket if the conversion rate from OPCAB to on-pump CABG is more than 8.5 percent [6].

It was the goal of Moriyama et al. to test the notion that amino acid infusions during surgery would enhance energy expenditure and so thermogenesis, thereby confirming the theory. During OPCAB, 24 patients were divided into two groups and given either amino acid (4 kJ/kg/hour) or saline therapy (4 kJ/kg/hour). Oxygen consumption and core body temperature were both markedly elevated by an amino acid infusion during OPCAB. The release of insulin and leptin in response to amino acid infusion may contribute in part to the thermogenic response occurring during OPCAB because of these hormonal signalling pathways [7].

116 patients who had had elective CABG with or without cardiopulmonary bypass (n = 66) and OPCAB or on-pump CABG were studied by Mitaka et al. for nitric oxide (NO) production. It was shown that urinary nitrite/nitrate excretion (NOx) was a good indicator of endogenous NO generation for two days following the surgery. There was no significant difference in the mean urinary NOx/creatinine (Cr) excretion ratio on the first day, however the on-pump CABG group showed a significant reduction (P 0.01) from the first to the second day. OPCAB patients had greater urine NOx/Cr excretion ratios than on-pump CABG patients (0.51 0.26 vs 0.38 0.20, P0.01) [8].

3. Anesthesia:

The anaesthetic care of patients undergoing OPCAB is similar to that of patients undergoing conventional CABG in some respects. Intravenous monitoring is necessary for all patients getting OPCAB treatment. An arterial line and a central venous line are absolutely necessary. The use of pulmonary artery catheters is common. In the case of distal anastomoses, pulmonary artery pressure monitoring may be especially beneficial since it is often the first symptom of hemodynamic compromise before ischemia, arrhythmias, or cardiovascular collapse. To detect hypokinesia or severe mitral regurgitation after periods of regional cardiac ischemia, doctors may employ a transesophageal echo [2].

Anesthesia management difficulties particular to OPCAB operations must be addressed in addition to normal monitoring and safe anesthetic induction in other CABG procedures. In contrast to typical CABG operations, the capacity to actively rewarm the patient through cardiopulmonary bypass is lost during the case, hence maintaining normothermia is vital throughout the case. Hypothermia impairs clotting, causes arrhythmias, and prolongs the time between surgery and extubation, all of which are hampered by low body temperature. Prior to induction, efforts should be made to maintain normal body temperature. Throughout the process, a convective air system circulates warm air to keep the patient comfortable [3].

4. We'll be ready for surgery.

Before being prepared for surgery, all patients who are scheduled for OPCAB get an aspirin supplementation after being put under anesthesia. Aspirin and clopidogrel are used in our practice to prevent platelet aggregation during surgery and in the postoperative period. Clopidogrel is given within four hours of surgery if the output of the chest tube is less than 100 cm3/hour during four hours following the operation. A midline sternotomy incision is used to open the chest. An upward-lifting retractor is used to harvest the left and/or right internal mammary arteries (LIMA/RIMA). Endoscopic procedures are used when necessary to concurrently harvest additional radial arteries or saphenous vein conduits [9].

In order to prevent fibrin strands in endoscopically harvested conduits, patients are given 5,000 units of heparin before harvesting a vein or artery. Pre-dissection heparin (180 units/kg) is administered to achieve a goal activated clotting time of more than 350 seconds. To maintain this degree of anticoagulation, heparin must be re-dosed every 30 minutes. Osteoporosis (OPCAB) stabilisers and positioners (Octopus Evolution AS and Starfish EVO from Medtronic; ACROBAT from Maquet; EXPOSE from Maquet) are inserted into the chest by a sternal retractor (OctoBase; Medtronic). The diaphragm and pericardium are separated by a large inverted "T"-shaped pericardiotomy [2].

5. The grafting sequence:

To ensure hemodynamic stability and prevent catastrophic ischemia during OPCAB surgery, the grafting sequence must be carefully considered. For most procedures, the collateralized arteries are grafted first, and the proximal anastomoses or the IMA are subsequently utilized to reperfuse the patient. In the end, the collateralizing artery serves as the final coronary target graft (s). This method avoids halting crucial flow from the collateralizing vessel to the collateralized territory until after the collateralizing vessel has been grafted onto the collateralized area [9].

This procedure may be used to help restore blood flow to a collateralized vascular earlier in the operating cycle. Proximal anastomoses may make it more difficult to estimate the length of the graft. A silk tie is used to measure the predicted length so that conduit may be cut to the correct length in this situation. While it's not always possible, it's best to execute the LIMA to Left Anterior Descent Artery (LAD) Graft first in order to prevent straining the LIMA anastomosis when the heart is later shifted to expose other target arteries [10].

Cardiovascular dislocation and presentation of coronary targets

In order to expose the inferior and lateral coronary arteries, cardiac displacement procedures must be used. Rolling the apex of the heart toward/under the right sternal border brings one closer to the vessels of the lateral wall. The traction sutures on the right pericardium have been removed, allowing access to the right pleural cavity. Using the table's sharp rightward rotation, the heart is rolled beneath the right sternal border with the help of the left-sided traction sutures. The sternal retractor secures the deep stitch as it is moved toward the patient's left shoulder. When the coronary stabilizer is used, it makes it easier to see and hold the obtuse marginal coronary arteries, since it extends from the sternal retractor on the right to the heart on the left [5].

Cardiovascular positioning may be applied to a different area of the heart other than its apex, as required. Stitching the deep traction stitch toward a patient's left hip or directly caudal and clamping it to drapes is used to serve inferior wall vessels, such as the right coronary artery ventricular branch, posterior descending artery, or posterolateral obtuse marginal branch of the right coronary artery. It is linked to the sternal retractor on the left side. The bed is slanted to the patient's right in Trendelenburg posture. The peak of the heart is positioned vertically toward the ceiling, with the base raised. The positioner may be used to raise the heart's apex by placing it there [10].

Current coronary stabilization devices use suction rather than compression to hold epicardial tissue in place, allowing for easier grafting procedures. As a result of this feature, the device may provide coronary stability without severely squeezing the heart's chambers. As a result, the ventricular function is protected while mechanical interference is reduced. A few seconds may be required to stabilize the hemodynamics once the device is placed. Allowing the stabilizer arm to become more flexible while still maintaining suction is a good way to improve hemodynamics and identify the mechanical median of the cardiac cycle. There must be a constant flow to prevent tissue from being lost to suction [3].

With the aortic partial occlusion clamp, proximal anastomoses to the disease-free aortic artery are frequently done. Before applying the clamp, the systolic blood pressure is decreased to 95 mmHg. Clamp aortotomies are then performed using a 4.0-mm aortic punch. 6-0 monofilament sutures are used for vein graft anastomoses, whereas 7-0 monofilament sutures are used for arterial graft anastomoses. Monofilament 8-0 is used to anastomose any "T" graft derived from an IMA. A clamp is removed from the aortic root and the anastomosis is de-aired before the suture is tied. A 25-gauge needle is used to de-air the vein grafts until they are no longer obstructed. Prior to clamp removal, arterial grafts are not pierced but are allowed to backbleed. Aortic clamp placement is guided by the findings of regular ultrasound examination of the aorta, as previously reported [11].

A new-generation infrared camera was used by Suma et al. to show an intraoperative transplant assessment technique employing a thermal coronary artery imaging approach. Local epicardial cooling with a CO_2 blower on a normothermic heart allowed us to see the anastomosis and flow condition of all grafts. One IMA graft had an anastomotic failure and was successfully corrected out of the 17 that were implanted. Following postoperative coronary angiography, all grafts were found to be in good working order [12].

For patients who have had OPCAB surgery, postoperative care is quite similar to that of individuals who have had standard CABG surgery. Both renal function and chest tube drainage necessitate regular monitoring of the patient's cardiopulmonary state. However, caregivers must be aware of a few key distinctions if they want to take advantage of the quicker recovery time that OPCAB surgery may provide. Postoperative inotropic support is reduced in patients who have had OPCAB surgery, most likely due to the avoidance of global ischemia and decreased myocardial stunning [11].

Certain groups of patients are more susceptible to OPCAB than others:

OPCAB has been shown to be beneficial to patients in high-risk groups, according to several researches.

1. Reduced postoperative morbidity was shown to be the greatest advantage of OPCAB in a recent meta-analysis of RCTs, which found a strong link between patient risk factors and the treatment's effectiveness [13].

2. Older age: Older age is associated with an increased risk of CABG. Using a comprehensive evaluation of 16 observational studies of CABG in octogenarians, in-hospital mortality (pooled OR: 0.64; 95 percent CI, 0.44–0.93; P=0.02) and stroke (pooled OR: 0.61; 95 percent CI, 0.48–0.76; P0.001) were considerably lower in OPCAB patients (P 0.001). [17]. A Danish registry, however, found no difference in outcomes between ONCAB and OPCAB for individuals over the age of 70. [18]. OPCAB reduced the risk of stroke in 6943 pairs of octogenarians by 30 percent, according to the National Inpatient Sample (NIS) [19]. There was no significant difference between OPCAB and ONCAB in elderly patients (aged 75 years) when it came to the composite outcome of death, stroke, MI, repeat revascularization, or new renal replacement therapy within 30 days and one year after surgery, according to the largest RCT to date comparing the two drugs [20].

3. Gender of the female persona

Women are more likely to die from CABG than males, according to several research. Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS CABG) risk model shows that female sex increases the risk of surgical mortality, significant complications, and hospital duration of stay (OR: 1.31). (OR: 1.24). OPCAB, on the other hand, has the potential to reduce or eliminate this gender discrepancy in results. For women, OPCAB has a disproportionate effect and reduces the gender imbalance after CABG. In comparison to male patients (n=8165) and those treated with ONCAB (n=6921), female patients (n=3248) were older and had more comorbid conditions than those treated with OPCAB (n=4492). In comparison to males who received ONCAB, women treated with ONCAB had risk-adjusted ORs of 1.60 for mortality (P=0.01) and 1.71 for Major Advanced Cardiac Events (MACE) (P0.001). Women who received OPCAB had comparable results to males who had either OPCAB or ONCAB treatment. There was a substantial decrease in mortality (OR: 0.39; P=0.001) and MACE (OR: 0.43; P0.001) among women who received OPCAB therapy. [21].

4. End organ failure:

Patients with end-organ failures, such as renal failure and cirrhosis, have just modest quantities of evidence to support the use of OPCAB. A propensity-matched study found that on-pump surgery was linked with an increased risk of surgical death in patients with severe chronic renal disease, despite the fact that

Incomplete Revascularization (IR) was more common [22].

On-pump vs off-pump CABG in terms of short-term clinical outcomes:

Large RCTs, observational studies, and registries, plus over 60 meta-analyses have been conducted to examine the benefits and dangers of OPCAB. When comparing the biggest randomized studies (CORONARY [CABG Off or On Pump Revascularization] and ROOBY [Randomized On/Off Bypass] trials), the main outcome at 30 days showed no differences (23].

Nonfatal stroke or nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI) was the main composite outcome in CORONARY (9.8 percent against 10.3 percent, P=0.59) in OPCAB. Also, in ROOBY, the key composite outcome of 30 day mortality or serious complications was identical across the 2 groups (7.0 percent against 5.6% P0.19). No one component of these early composite outcomes differed from the others. Off-pump patients in CORONARY had fewer perioperative problems (such as transfusions, reoperations for bleeding, acute renal damage, and respiratory difficulties), in line with the theory that these advantages of off-pump surgery really exist [21].

A comparison of long-term clinical outcomes between patients undergoing CABG on and off-pump has produced conflicting results. The main result of the CORONARY experiment was not different after five years. 39 However, in the ROOBY study, the off-pump group's 5-year survival rate was considerably worse (15.2% vs 11.9%; P=0.02) than that of the on-pump group. 40 As a result of the increased risk of MI and recurrent revascularization in the off-pump group, event-free survival was also considerably worse in this group (31.0 percent vs 27.1 percent; P=0.05) [21].

OPCAB myocardial protection: two points to consider

It has developed over time as approaches for executing OPCAB have become more sophisticated. Before suction-based stabilizers were developed, adenosine and short-acting -blockers were used to cause the intermittent pharmacologic arrest and severe bradycardia throughout the surgery. Another benefit of this method was that it reduced myocardial oxygen demand, which helped preserve the heart muscle. With the widespread use of suction-based coronary stabilizers and positioning devices, these techniques have essentially disappeared from clinical practice. Several additional myocardial protection methods that were established early in the OPCAB experience are still used regularly [2].

The Use of Volatile Anesthetics for OPCAB to Protect the Myocardium

Patients receiving off-pump or minimally invasive direct CABG (OPCAB or MIDCAB, respectively) have also been evaluated for the possibility of volatile anesthetics to provide myocardial protection. Anastomosis construction may necessitate transient coronary artery closure, resulting in an identifiable scenario in which myocardial ischemia-reperfusion damage might occur in individuals with pre-existing flow-limiting coronary stenosis [24].

Sevoflurane- and propofol-treated patients undergoing OPCAB were shown to have lower postoperative troponin I release compared to sevoflurane- and propofol-treated patients. When compared to a propofol-opioid-based anesthetic method for patients undergoing OPCAB, desflurane lowered troponin I release, the usage of positive inotropes, and the number of patients needing extended hospitalization [25].

There have only been a few studies on the use of ischemia preconditioning (IP) in OPCAB, but the data supporting its advantages in terms of cardio-protection is strong. Using a five-minute local coronary artery blockage followed by a five-minute reperfusion procedure, Moscarelli and colleagues were able to induce IP in 17 OPCAB patients. The occlusion/reperfusion of the left anterior descending artery (LAD) enhanced Left Ventricular Whole Motion (LVWM) and pulmonary artery pressure (PA). However, the localised LV systolic function was not altered by later ischemia during anastomosis creation [26].

In studies looking at the possible use of volatile anaesthetics as preconditioning agents in OPCAB, researchers have come up with a wide range of outcomes. Troponin release was observed to be reduced in three studies [27], although Orriach et al. also extended the use of sevoflurane during the first postoperative hours [26].

Adenosine has been used as a preconditioning agent in OPCAB, however there aren't many studies on its effectiveness. In terms of Ejection Fraction (EF) and troponin release, Forouzinna et al. found no difference between preconditioned and non-preconditioned groups [28]. The use of hyperbaric oxygen was preconditioned in a limited group of patients by Li et al (HBO). Prior to surgery, patients in the preconditioning group received HBO for five days at a time for an average of 70 minutes each day. Biochemical indicators of neuronal and myocardial impairment and clinical outcomes showed that HBO preconditioning resulted in both cerebral and cardiac protective effects in patients receiving ONCAB, but no advantages were identified in the OPCAB group [29].

Surgery performed using an off-pump instead of an on-pump heart-lung bypass machine has been shown to improve both reversible and irreversible myocardial damage in the early postoperative period. The mean postoperative ejection fraction change between the two surgical groups was 6 when scanned a median of 6 days following surgery. New permanently damaged myocardium was discovered to be present in both surgery groups with an average of 3 g, or 2% of the total volume of the heart. It seems that although OPCABG surgery may enhance LV function early after surgery, it does not appear to minimize the degree of irreparable myocardial damage associated with CABG operation [30].

When the pump is off, the kidneys are protected during CABG

Post-operative renal dysfunction is a well-documented consequence of cardiac surgery, and its causes are many. However, renal hypoperfusion, which occurs as a result of injury to the kidney before, during, or after CPB, is mostly to blame. These include infection, insufficient cardiac output and use of intra-Aortic Ballon Pump (IABP), severe blood loss and use of vasopressors before CPB; perioperative myocardial infarction; emergency surgery; excessive transfusion; chronic renal illness; and bypass time. Elastase and endothelin are free in the plasma. Can cause renal brush border membrane damage due to free radicals created during CPB such as hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radicals [31].

As compared to on-pump in individuals with renal impairment, full coronary artery bypass grafting

It is well-known that renal impairment after CABG is related to higher morbidity, mortality, ICU stays and hospital costs. Postoperatively, a considerable number of traditional CABG patients had renal impairment. In patients receiving traditional CABG, preoperative renal impairment is an indicator of renal failure. Although myocardial revascularization without CPB reduces postoperative renal damage in people with normal preoperative renal function, its impact on patients with preoperative nondialysis-dependent renal insufficiency is still debatable. Postoperative renal damage may occur in individuals with preoperative nondialysis-dependent renal impairment, according to a number of studies [32].

On-pump vs off-pump renal function during CABG:

Many perioperative variables that may lead to renal dysfunction independently of the revascularization strategy contribute to the development of renal dysfunction following CABG. Postoperatively, on-pump CABG is thought to have a negative impact on renal function owing to CPB's potentially detrimental effects on renal function, including as non-pulsatile flow and renal hypoperfusion. Renal function may be improved by using OPCAB, which avoids the negative effects of CPB. Because OPCAB avoids these renal hazards, it stands to reason that it would enhance outcomes for individuals with preoperative renal insufficiency. While off-pump CABG procedures may eliminate the need for CPB, they may present additional risk factors that might cause kidney damage. Conditions that are specific to the OPCAB technique, such as hypotension and decreased cardiac output (i.e., cardiac distortion), may contribute to renal failure during the treatment. Analyzing whether or not CPB-related impaired renal function is present might be complicated by these variables [33].

We found that there was no significant difference in postoperative renal impairment between the two groups, according to Singh et al. While hypothermia and higher perfusion pressure were used during on-pump CABG to counteract the negative effects on renal function caused by CPB, the use of high doses of vasoconstrictors and cardiac distortion resulting in low cardiac output on normothermia during off-pump CABG may have contributed to this outcome [33].

Off-pump neuroprotection plays a key function CABG

During and after coronary artery surgery, the most prevalent non-cardiac consequence is neurological impairment. Neurological injury may vary from cognitive impairment to irreversible neurological damage, such as a stroke, depending on the severity of the illness. Using a variety of methods of observation. Both the cellular integrity and electrical activity of the central nervous system may be traced using this technique. These methods are used to protect the nervous system from harm. The haemoglobin content in brain tissue may be an early warning of injury, according to a newly discovered near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) technology [34].

Off-pump CABG procedures are becoming increasingly common due to advancements in surgical and medical technology. It's still unclear if off-pump treatments have an edge when it comes to perioperative and postoperative problems despite these advancements. Patients with major risk factors, including poor ejection fractions, established cerebrovascular disease, and advanced age, have had very positive results using off-pump procedures. [35].

A number of studies have shown that using this technique reduces the number of blood products needed and the use of an intraaortic balloon pump, and that it also improves postoperative cardiac enzyme levels, resulting in lower rates of in-hospital mortality, perioperative myocardial infarction, and postoperative complications. Though there are differences between the two CABG methods when it comes to in-hospital mortality and significant complications, other studies have identified some parallels [36].

OPCAB gastrointestinal protection

Complications of on-pump vs off-pump coronary bypass grafting in the gastrointestinal tract.

Even though they are rare, post-coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) gastrointestinal problems may have serious consequences in terms of mortality and morbidity. Cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) may have a factor in these side effects, according to previous research. Splanchnic hypotension and a systemic inflammatory response have been hypothesized as possible pathophysiological causes [37].

Intestinal ischemia after on-pump or off-pump coronary artery bypass:

AMI, or acute mesenteric ischemia, is an uncommon but very deadly complication after coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). Perioperative low cardiac output and visceral hypoperfusion have been linked to mucosal ischemia and necrosis, which may occur during surgery. Due to mesenteric neutrophil sequestration during CPB, it has been hypothesized that CPB may be harmful to the mucosal ischemia, despite normal global perfusion indicators [38].

Off-pump Intestinal problems may be reduced after CABG surgery.

GI problems after heart surgery are very infrequent (0.4 percent to 2 percent) yet can result in a high death risk of up to 80%. These problems are more common because they are more difficult to detect, and symptoms might be obscured by anesthesia and mechanical breathing in the immediate aftermath of surgery, making an accurate diagnosis more difficult [39]. Low perioperative cardiac output or hypotension extended cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB), advanced age, prolonged breathing time, valve surgery, reexploration of the chest, and a history of peptic ulcer are some of the risk factors for GI problems after cardiac surgery [40].

There are claims that off-pump coronary artery bypass (OPCAB) may lessen inflammation during coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). As a result of this rationale, it was hypothesized that OPCAB surgery would lessen the morbidity associated with standard CABG by reducing subsystem and end-organ damage. Off-pump and on-pump coronary artery revascularization procedures have been studied extensively in the literature. Few studies have been done to compare the GI consequences of these two distinct revascularization techniques [40].

Reduced inflammation after off-pump coronary artery surgery allows for an environment that is more friendly to the organ systems. The use of early systemic vasoconstrictor or inotropic need may be minimised with off-pump surgery, according to several reports. Patients in critical condition may benefit from enhanced organ function as a result of this. To keep major organ systems functioning and reduce morbidity, off-pump coronary artery surgery has lately increased in favour since it is more physiologically suitable. The therapeutic implications of these results have yet to be determined, even though numerous research agree that OPCAB surgeries significantly reduce the inflammatory response observed following CPB [35].

Even though the off-pump group required less breathing time and spent less time in the hospital, a study by van Dijk and colleagues found no difference in morbidity between the two groups. Research on gastrointestinal (GI) issues in such comparative studies has been scarce up until now.e [41].

Inflammatory response during Off-pump CABG

Off-pump coronary bypass surgery has the potential to reduce inflammation by avoiding some of these risk factors, such as global ischemia/reperfusion and extracorporeal circulation (ECC). Fever, reduced vascular resistance, and hypotension are all symptoms of a systemic inflammatory response following off-pump surgery. Inflammatory indicators, such as C-reactive protein, lipopolysaccharide-binding protein (LBP), and procalcitonin, rise following off-pump treatments [42].

Activation of the complementary system:

Classical and alternative pathways are used to activate the complement system, which is a cascade system. Complement cascade products C3a and C5a are anaphylatoxins. Leukocytes and platelets are activated, blood vessels become more permeable and dilated, and oxygen-free radicals are released. Anaphylatoxins C3a and C5a activate leukocytes and endothelial cells in the same way as the membrane attack complex C5b-9 does. Postoperative C3a levels have been shown to be associated with increased complication rates and longer artificial breathing in patients who have had surgery using extracorporeal circulation [35].

Blood interaction with the foreign surfaces within the heart-lung machine activates the alternative route, and this is considered to have little or no effect on the complement system's participation in the development of Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (SIRS) after off-pump surgery. Ascione reported a substantial difference in C3a and C5a levels between the on-pump and off-pump groups one hour after surgery [35].

IgE-activated macrophages and endothelial cells:

Organ damage is ultimately caused by the inflammatory response when leukocyte and endothelial cell activation occurs. In this mechanism, activated leukocytes engage endothelial adhesion molecules, such as ICAM-1, through particular ligands, such as CD11b/CD18 (also known as Mac-1). Proteases, oxygen radicals, cytokines, leukotrienes, and cytokines are released by leukocytes when they migrate past the endothelium layer to the target organ (margination). Leukotrienes (LTB4), platelet-activating factor (PAF), lipopolysaccharides (LPS), and complement (C3a, C5a) all have a role in the induction of adhesion molecules [35].

Cellular and humoral immune responses are weakened during ECC operations. CD8+ suppressor/cytotoxic T cells are more prevalent in the CD4+ helper/inducer T cell population shortly after ECC. Cytotoxic T lymphocytes and natural killer cells are also less active for up to three days after surgery. IL-1b, IL-6, IL-8, and IL-10 production on monocytes, as well as expression of HLA-DR on these cells, are all reduced following ECC [43].

Angiogenesis:

Angiogenesis is thought to be stimulated by hypoxia and inflammation. Inflammatory cells such as monocytes/macrophages, platelets, mast cells, and leukocytes are chemoattracted to the site of damage after vascular trauma. This group of cells produces angiogenic factors that attract endothelium and smooth muscle cells, fibroblasts, leukocytes, platelets, and endothelial progenitor cells, among others (EPC). A hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) releases VEGF, a potent angiogenic factor that promotes angiogenesis and neovascularization while inhibiting intimal hyperplasia and providing cardioprotective benefits [42].

Release of cytokines:

First, cytokines are peptide or glycoproteins, and TNF is a cytokine They allow leukocytes and parenchymal cells to communicate with each other in a bidirectional manner. Both autocrine and paracrine mechanisms are at work when they attach to certain receptors. Nonspecific and antigen-specific immune responses are closely regulated by cytokines. On- and off-pump operations both generate inflammatory cytokines that have been studied extensively. Neutrophils, monocytes, and endothelial cells generate tumour necrosis factor-a (TNF-a), a proinflammatory cytokine. Endothelial cells' production of adhesion molecules is increased when TNF activates leukocytes. This means that TNF is critical to the inflammatory response in both the local and the systemic contexts [42].

A pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine, interleukin-6 (IL-6). For the immunological response and the onset of the acute phase reaction, it is essential. The hypothalamic-pituitary axis is influenced by IL-6, which increases the production of the stress hormone cortisol. Other proinflammatory cytokines including TNFa and IL-1b are also inhibited. In both off-pump and on-pump procedures, there is a peri- or post-operative release. However, the differences that exist amongst the groupings are not uniform. When comparing the on-pump and off-pump groups, Diegeler et al. observed either no changes or just very tiny differences [20].

Chemotactic characteristics of IL-8 are crucial for bringing neutrophils to the site of inflammation, where they play an important role. Endothelial and leukocyte cells release IL-8, which stimulates them. Increased mRNA expression of IL-8 in animal studies and human myocardium after ECC was observed. It is reasonable to suppose that IL-8 is involved in myocardial damage as a result of ischemia/reperfusion [42].

Anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin-10 (IL-10) In vitro and in vivo, it inhibits the production of proinflammatory cytokines. Myocardial reperfusion damage is reduced by endogenously generated IL-10. A number of studies have shown that ECC-induced IL-10 release occurs following surgery [44].

Other interleukins:

Other interleukins' kinetics in plasma are still a matter of debate, and there is little data to support this claim. In both CABG and OPCAB operations, IL-2 receptors, a proinflammatory and immunostimulatory interleukin, have been shown to be elevated, with CABG having a slightly higher level. There was no difference between CABG, OPCAB, MIDCAB and on-pump MIDCAB in IL-1 kinetics [45].

After postischemic organ reperfusion, oxidative stress and the generation of high levels of oxygen radicals and reactive oxygen species (ROS) are known to occur. Leukocyte activation during cardiopulmonary bypass and worldwide cardiac arrest with ischemia-reperfusion is the primary source of ROS production in on-pump operations, according to some evidence. One way that ROS may harm cardiomyocytes is by an increase in cell permeability due to the increased peroxide content of the lipoprotein membranes. Another way that ROS can harm cardiomyocytes is through an increase in peroxide content due to damage to the mitochondrial respiratory chain (46).

On-pump operations have been demonstrated to create and release free radicals. Consequently, off-pump coronary surgery may limit ROS emission, which is possible. The on-pump group had considerably higher plasma levels of hydroperoxides, protein carbonyls, and nitrotyrosine, according to a study comparing off-pump coronary surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass on a beating heart without cardioplegic arrest. These metabolites were not altered in the off-pump group. Malondialdehyde (MDA), a byproduct of lipid peroxidation, increased in plasma in the on-pump group but not in the off-pump group, according to Cavalca et al [47].

4. Conclusion

It is possible that OPCAB, when used on high-risk patients, may reduce mortality and morbidity while the patients are still in the hospital.

References

[1] **S.Shaefi , A.Mittel , D.Loberman, H.Ramakrishna** Off-Pump Versus On-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting-A Systematic Review and Analysis of Clinical Outcomes. J. Cardiothorac. Vasc. Anesth.vol.33,pp.232–244,2019.

[2] **L.R.Kaiser , I.L.Kron, T.L. Spray (Eds.)** .Mastery of cardiothoracic surgery, Third edition. ed. Wolters Kluwer/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.vol.15,pp.155-180, 2014.

[3] **A.Albert, A.Assmann, A.K.Assmann, H.Aubin, A.Lichtenberg,** Operative techniques in coronary artery bypass surgery: an illustrated guide to personalized therapy.vol.20,pp.140-180,2021.

[4] **Y.Sezai, Y.Orime, S.Tsukamoto.** Coronary artery surgery results 2005 in Japan. Ann. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. Off. J. Assoc. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. Asia.vol.13,pp.220–223,2007.

[5] **Y.M.Rao, S.Potdar, D.Das , A.Saha , L.Kapoor.** On-pump beating heart versus off-pump myocardial revascularization-a propensity-matched comparison. Indian J. Thorac. Cardiovasc.vol.37,pp.639–646,2021.

[6] **T.Shiga, C.C.Apfel , Z.Wajima, Y.Ohe**. Influence of intraoperative conversion from off-pump to on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting on costs and quality of life: a cost-effectiveness analysis. J. Cardiothorac. Vasc. Anesth.vol.21,pp.793–799,2007.

[7] **T.Moriyama, I.Tsuneyoshi , T.Omae , M.Takeyama , Y.Kanmura**. The effect of amino-acid infusion during off-pump coronary arterial bypass surgery on thermogenic and hormonal regulation. J. Anesth.vol.22,pp.354–360, 2008.

[8] **C.Mitaka , K.Yokoyama, T.Imai.** Nitric oxide production is more prominent in off-pump than in on-pump coronary artery bypass surgery. Anaesth. Intensive Care.vol.35,pp.505–509,2007.

[9] **D.D.Yuh.** Johns Hopkins manual of cardiothoracic surgery. McGraw-Hill Companies, New York.vol.15,pp.123-150,2014.
[10] **R.M. Almeida, de S.S.A. de, F.B. Jatene.** Cardiovascular Surgery: a clinical casebook. Springer, Cham, Switzerland.vol.40,pp.450-480, 2019.
[11] **G.Kuwahara, T.Tashiro,** Current Status of Off-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass. Ann. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. Off. J. Assoc. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. Asia.vol.26,pp.125–132, 2020.
[12] **H.Suma , T.Isomura, T.Horii , T.Sato,** Intraoperative coronary artery imaging with infrared camera in off-pump CABG. Ann. Thorac.vol. 70,pp.1741–1742,2000.
[13] **M.Kowalewski , W.Pawliszak , P.G.Malvindi, M.P.Bokszanski, D.Perlinski.** Off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting improves short-term outcomes in high-risk patients compared with on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting: Meta-analysis. J. Thorac. Cardiovasc.vol. 151,pp.60-77, 2016.
[14] **W.B.Keeling, M.L.Williams, M.S. Slaughter , Y.Zhao, J.D.Puskas,** Off-pump and on-pump coronary revascularization in patients with low ejection fraction: a report from the society of thoracic surgeons national database. Ann. Thorac.vol. 96,pp.83-88,2013.
[15] **C.Ueki, H. Miyata, N.Motomura, G.Sakaguchi , T.Akimoto.** Off-pump versus on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. J. Thorac. Cardiovasc.vol. 151,pp.1092–1098,2016.
[16] **O.A.Jarral, S.Saso, T.Athanasiou.** Off-pump coronary artery bypass in patients with left ventricular dysfunction: a meta-analysis. Ann. Thorac.vol.92,pp.1686–1694,2011.
[17] **H.Khan, M.Uzzaman, U.Benedetto, S.Butt, S.G.Raja.** On- or off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting for octogenarians: A meta-analysis of comparative studies involving 27,623 patients. Int. J. Surg. Lond. Engl.vol.47,pp.42–51,2017.
[18] **K.Houlind, B.J.Kjeldsen, S.N.Madsen, B.S.Rasmussen , S.J.Holme**. On-pump versus off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery in elderly patients: results from the Danish on-pump versus off-pump randomization study. Circulation.vol.125,pp.2431–2439,2012.
[19] **P.Cavallaro , S.Itagaki, M.Seigerman, J.Chikwe.** Operative mortality and stroke after on-pump vs off-pump surgery in high-risk patients: an analysis of 83,914 coronary bypass operations. Eur. J. Cardio-Thorac. Surg. Off. J. Eur. Assoc. Cardio-Thorac.vol. 45,pp.159–164,2014.
[20] **A.Diegeler, J. Börgermann, U.Kappert , M.Breuer, A.Böning.** Off-pump versus on-pump coronary-artery bypass grafting in elderly patients. N. Engl.vol. 368,pp.1189–1198,2013.
[21] **M.Gaudino, G.D.Angelini, C.Antoniades, F.Bakaeen, U.Benedetto.** Off-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting: 30 Years of Debate. J. Am. Heart Assoc.vol.7,pp. 99-122,2018.
[22] **H.J.Kim, J.B.Kim, S.-H.Jung , S.J.Choo , J.W.Lee.** Coronary artery bypass grafting in patients with severe chronic kidney disease: a propensity score-weighted analysis on the impact of on-pump versus off-pump strategies. Eur. J. Cardio-Thorac. Surg. Off. J. Eur. Assoc. Cardio-Thorac.vol. 52,pp.937–944,2017.
[23] **A.Parolari , P.Poggio , V.Myasoedova , P.Songia , A.Pilozzi .** Molecular pathways activation in coronary artery bypass surgery: which role for pump avoidance? J. Cardiovasc. Med. Hagerstown .vol.17,pp.54–61,2016.
[24] **P.S. Pagel**. Myocardial Protection by Volatile Anesthetics in Patients Undergoing Cardiac Surgery: A Critical Review of the Laboratory and Clinical Evidence. J. Cardiothorac. Vasc. Anesth.vol. 27,pp. 972–982, 2013.
[25] **F.Guarracino , G.Landoni, L.Tritapepe, F.Pompei, A.Leoni.** Myocardial Damage Prevented by Volatile Anesthetics: A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Study. J. Cardiothorac. Vasc. Anesth.vol.20,pp.477–483,2006.
[26] **M.Moscarelli, P.P.Punjabi, G.I.Miroslav, P.Del Sarto, F.Fiorentino.** Myocardial conditioning techniques in off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. J. Cardiothorac.vol.15,pp10-7,2015.
[27] **J.L.Guerrero Orriach, M.Galán Ortega , M.Ramirez Aliaga, P.Iglesias, M.Rubio Navarro.** Prolonged sevoflurane administration in the off-pump coronary artery bypass graft surgery: Beneficial effects. J. Crit. Care.vol.28,pp.879-879, 2013.
[28] **S.K.Forouzannia , M.H.Abdollahi , S.J.Mirhosseini, M.Hadadzadeh , R.Zarepur.** Adenosine Preconditioning versus Ischemic Preconditioning in Patients undergoing Off-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass (OPCAB). J. Tehran Heart Cent.vol.8,pp.127–131,2013.
[29] **Y.Li , H.Dong , M.Chen , J.Liu , L.Yang .** Preconditioning With Repeated Hyperbaric Oxygen Induces Myocardial and Cerebral Protection in Patients Undergoing Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery: A Prospective, Randomized, Controlled Clinical Trial. J. Cardiothorac. Vasc. Anesth.vol.25,pp.908–916,2011.
[30] **J.B.Selvanayagam, S.E.Petersen , J.M.Francis , M.D.Robson , A.Kardos .** Effects of off-pump versus on-pump coronary surgery on reversible and irreversible myocardial injury: a randomized trial using cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging and biochemical markers. Circulation.vol.109,pp.345–350,2004.
[31] **K.Pramodh , Vani, K.Muralidhar**. Renal function following CABG: On-pump vs off-pump. Indian J. Thorac. Cardiovasc.vol. 19,pp.169–173,2003.

[32] **M.Kanchi**. Renal protection in off pump coronary artery bypass grafting. maastricht university.vol.50,pp.410-450, 2020.
[33] **R.S.Singh , S.K.S.Thingnam , A.K.Mishra , I.Verma , V.Kumar.** Renal function after off-pump versus on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. Asian Cardiovasc. Thorac. Ann.vol.25,pp.504–508, 2017.
[34] **G.Demir , Z.Çukurova, G.Eren , O.Hergünsel .**Comparison of the effects of on-pump and off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery on cerebral oxygen saturation using near-infrared spectroscopy. Korean J. Anesthesiol.vol.67,pp.391–397, 2014.
[35] **R.Ascione, M.Caputo, G.D.Angelini**. Off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting: not a flash in the pan. Ann. Thorac.vol. 75,pp.306–313, 2003.
[36] **M.H.Chamberlain, R.Ascione, B.C.Reeves, G.D.Angelini,** Evaluation of the effectiveness of off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting in high-risk patients: an observational study. Ann. Thorac.vol.73,pp.1866–1873,2002.
[37] **T.Velissaris, A.Tang, M.Murray, A.El-Minshawy , D.Hett .** A prospective randomized study to evaluate splanchnic hypoxia during beating-heart and conventional coronary revascularization. Eur. J. Cardio-Thorac. Surg. Off. J. Eur. Assoc. Cardio-Thorac.vol.23,pp.917–924, 2003.
[38] **L.Soylu , O.U.Aydın, M.Yıldız, H.Serdaroğlu, M.Kurtoğlu.** Comparison of intestinal ischemia after on-pump versus off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. Turk. J. Med.vol. 49,pp.11–15,2019.
[39] **F.F.Viana, Y.Chen, A.A.Almeida, H.D.Baxter, A.D.Cochrane**. Gastrointestinal complications after cardiac surgery: 10-year experience of a single Australian centre. ANZ J. .vol. 83,pp.651–656,2013.
[40] **I.Sanisoglu, M.Guden, Z.Bayramoglu, E.Sagbas, C.Dibekoglu .** Does off-pump CABG reduce gastrointestinal complications Ann. Thorac.vol.77,pp.619–625, 2004.
[41] **D.van Dijk, A.P.Nierich, E.W.Jansen, H.M.Nathoe, W.J.Suyker.** Early outcome after off-pump versus on-pump coronary bypass surgery: results from a randomized study. Circulation.vol.104,pp.1761–1766,2001.
[42] **J.Börgermann, R.J.Scheubel, A.Simm, R.E.Silber, I.Friedrich**. Inflammatory response in on- versus off-pump myocardial revascularization: is ECC really the culprit? Thorac. Cardiovasc.vol. 55,pp.473–480, 2007.
[43] **L.Wehlin, J.Vedin, J.Vaage, J.Lundahl.** Activation of complement and leukocyte receptors during on- and off pump coronary artery bypass surgery. Eur. J. Cardio-Thorac. Surg. Off. J. Eur. Assoc. Cardio-Thorac.vol. 25,pp.35–42, **2004**.
[44] **V.Tomic, S.Russwurm, E.Möller, R.A.Claus, M.Blaess.** Transcriptomic and proteomic patterns of systemic inflammation in on-pump and off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. Circulation.vol.112,pp.2912–2920,2005.
[45] **P.Biglioli**. Biological effects of off-pump vs. on-pump coronary artery surgery: focus on inflammation, hemostasis and oxidative stress. Eur. J. Cardiothorac.vol. 24,pp.260–269, 2003.
[46] **I.Y.P.Wan, A.A.Arifi, S.Wan, J.H.Y.Yip, A.D.L.Sihoe.** Beating heart revascularization with or without cardiopulmonary bypass: evaluation of inflammatory response in a prospective randomized study. J. Thorac. Cardiovasc.vol. 127,pp.1624–1631,2004.
[47] **V.Cavalca, E.Sisillo, F.Veglia, E.Tremoli, G.Cighetti.** Isoprostanes and oxidative stress in off-pump and on-pump coronary bypass surgery. Ann. Thorac.vol. 81,pp.562–567,2006.