Abstract

Background: hydrocephalus is defined as increased cerebrospinal fluid production or decreased CSF drainage. It could be managed by ventriculoperitoneal shunt but may be complicated by obstruction or infection, so management could be done through either repeated revision of the peritoneal end or through change it into ventriculatrial shunt.\(^1\) Purpose: This work aims to evaluate the efficacy of repeated distal end of ventriculoperitoneal shunt revision in comparison to replacement by ventriculatrial shunt. Study design: it is a retrospective study. Patient and methods: this study is a retrospective that includes 60 hydrocephalic Patients with distal end malfunction operated with either repeated revision of distal end (group I) or changed into ventriculatrial shunt (group II) at neurosurgery department at Benha university and Benha children hospital from January 2018 to January 2020. All patients were examined via detailed general, neurosurgical evaluation and radiological investigations. The patient were followed up with post operative from 12 to 18 months. Results: this study was done on 60 patients with mean age ±SD is 37.22 ± 41.94 months. The outcome of surgery in group I, 14 patients (30.4%) were succeeded and 32 patients (69.6%) were failed. In group II, 9 patients (64.3%) were succeeded and 5 patients (35.7%) were failed which is statistically significant. Conclusion: success rate in ventriculatrial shunt is higher than success rate in repeated ventriculoperitoneal distal revision in repeated distal shunt complication.
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1. Introduction

Hydrocephalus is defined as an abnormal increase in the amount of cerebrospinal fluid within the cranial cavity (as from obstructed flow, excess production, or defective absorption) that is accompanied by expansion of the cerebral ventricles and often increased intracranial pressure, skull enlargement, and cognitive decline [1].

Surgical treatment of hydrocephalus includes either by shunting through insertion of ventricular system draining into one of the body cavities such as peritoneum, atrium of the heart, gall bladder or ureter or by endoscopic third ventriculostomy to allow drainage of cerebrospinal fluid out of the brain [2].

The most common complications of different shunting systems include infection, shunt obstruction, inadequate drainage, over drainage or even allergy against silicon material of shunt [3].

Distal end of ventriculoperitoneal shunt complications are such as infection, intestinal obstruction (volvulus or intussusception), intestinal perforation or abscess formation. So treatment of these complications may be by lysis of adhesions, antibiotics for infections, revision of the distal end or replacement by new ventriculoperitoneal or ventriculatrial shunt [4].

Repeated revision of the peritoneal end may cause hazards as infections, adhesions, perforations or even hernias [5].

Also the ventriculatrial shunt can cause complications such as bloodstream infection, intra atrial thrombus formation around the intra cardiac end of the shunt, perforation of the right atrium and cardiac tamponade [6].

2. Aim of the work

The aim of this work is to evaluate the efficacy of repeated distal end of ventriculoperitoneal shunt revision in comparison to replacement by ventriculatrial shunt.

3. Patient and methods

This study is a retrospective study of all infants admitted to benha university and benha children hospitals with repeated distal shunt complications from January 2018 to January 2020 and operated by distal abdominal shunt revision or replacement by a new ventriculoperitoneal shunt versus ventriculatrial shunt with follow up period from 12 to 18 months.

2.1. Inclusion criteria
- Age below 18 years old.
- Patients complaining from repeated distal shunt malfunction (2 or more times of revision).
- Distal shunt infection.

2.2. Exclusion criteria
- Proximal shunt mal function.
- Distal shunt mal function for the 1st time.
- Disconnected shunts.
- Discontined shunts.

2.3. All patients are divided into 2 groups
- Group I : patients manged with revision of the peritoneal end.
- Group II : patients manged with ventriculatrial shunt instead.

All patients were examined via detailed history, general evaluation, neurosurgical examination,
laboratory and radiological investigations (Pelvi abdominal ultrasound, CT brain, MRI and ECHO).

2.4. Surgical considerations
Shunt obstruction can be managed by releasing of adhesion around the peritoneal end or removing the blugging agent: In group I: the distal end is inserted in another site in the abdomen. In group II: the distal end is changed into ventriculo atrial shunt into ipsi lateral side of internal jugular vein under image of c-arm (to detect the length of the catheter in the right atrium) after confirmation of its patency by ECHO.

Shunt Infection can be managed by exteriorization of the shunt then removal of the shunt followed by frequent tapping then after 3 negative successive cultures of CSF samples without growth: In group I: a new ventriculo peritoneal shunt is inserted in another site away from the previose of infection. In group II: the new shunt is inserted as ventriculo atrial shunt on the contralateral internal jugular vein.

2.5 Post operative considerations
After surgery early follow up of the patient was done: CT brain, pelvi abdominal (ultra sound +/- CT) and ECHO cardiology in case of ventriculo atrial shunt.

Patients then were followed up clinically and radiologically after 1 month, 3 months, 6 months then every 6 months.

2.6 Statistical analysis
The programme used was SPSS version 26. Quantitative data were analyzed using mean and standard deviation, while frequency and percentage were used with qualitative data. Chi square test and Fischer exact test to compare frequencies. P value was considered significant if ot was ≤ 0.05.

Table (1) Age and sex distribution of the studied group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value (n=60)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age /m</td>
<td>37.22±41.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>2.5-192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>22.0 (12.0-46.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>32 (53.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>28 (46.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2) Comparison between the studied groups according to outcome of surgery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Group I (46)</th>
<th>Group II (14)</th>
<th>Chi square</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succeed</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>64.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7. Ethical approval
The ethical approval was taken from ethical committee. Informed written consent were taken from parents of all cases.

4. Results: this is retrospective study that includes 60 patients with Distal Ventriculo Peritoneal Shunt Complications.

In table (1); the mean age is 37.22 months with standered deviation 41.94. Regarding gender, there is male predominance about 53.3% (32 of 60) are males and 46.7% (28 of 60) are females.

In our study 46 patients (76.7%) were included as group I and 14 patients (23.3%) were included as group II.

In figure (1): the causes of shunt revision that was due to either shunt obstruction that occurred in 39 patients (65%) or shunt infection occurred in 21 cases (35%).

In table (2); the outcome of surgery in group I, 14 patients (30.4%) were succeeded and 32 patients (69.6%) were failed. In group II, 9 patients (64.3%) were succeeded and 5 patients (35.7%) were failed which is statistically significant.

In table (3): in group I: the surgical outcome due to shunt obstruction; 7 patients (12.2%) were succeeded and 26 patients (87.8%) were failed and the surgical outcome due to infection; 7 patients (53.8%) were succeeded and 6 patients (46.2%) were failed which is statistically significant. In group II: the surgical outcome due to shunt obstruction; 4 patients (66.7%) were succeeded and 2 patients (33.3%) were failed and the surgical outcome due to infection; 5 patients (62.5%) were succeeded and 3 patients (37.5%) were failed which is statistically nonsignificant.
Table (3) Comparison between surgical outcomes according to causes of revision among studied groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes of revision</th>
<th>Succeed No</th>
<th>Succeed %</th>
<th>Failed No</th>
<th>Failed %</th>
<th>FET</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>Obstruction</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(46)</td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>Obstruction</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. (1) Causes of revision of the studied group.

5. Discussion

In our study as regard the age of the patients: The age range was from 2.5 months to 192 months old., [8] found that the age is most commonly below 12 years old.

In our study as regard the gender incidance male patients were 32 (53.3%) and female patients were 28 (46.7%), [9] showed higher incidence of complications in males (70%) and 30% complications were seen in females. [10] stated that among the study group, 28 were males and 22 females.

In our study as regard the causes of shunt revision that was due to either shunt obstruction that occurred in 39 patients (65%) or shunt infection occurred in 21 cases (35%), as [11] showed. The frequency of obstruction and infection was 25/65 (38.46%) and 8/65 (12.3%) respectively. [10] Also said that Infective complications of hydrocephalus are more likely to leave behind an adverse neurological outcome.

In our study: in group I: the surgical outcome due to shunt obstruction: 7 patients (12.2%) were succeeded and 26 patients (87.8%) were failed and the surgical outcome due to infection? patients (53.8%) were succeeded and 6 patients (46.2%) were failed which is statistically significant. In group II: the surgical outcome due to shunt obstruction: 4 patients (66.7%) were succeeded and 2 patients (33.3%) were failed and the surgical outcome due to infection: 5 patients (62.5%) were succeeded and 3 patients (37.5%) were failed which is statistically non significant, as [12] ventriculocath etc. are an effective second-line intervention for children with hydrocephalus in whom the peritoneum is no longer a suitable site for the distal catheter.

6. Conclusion

Success rate in ventriculoatrial shunt is higher than success rate in repeated ventriculoperitoneal distal revision in repeated distal shunt complication
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