Hydrothermal synthesis of vanadium disulfide: Experimental optimization and characterization
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Abstract

Vanadium disulfide (VS₂) nanosheets (NS) have garnered significant attention due to their unique structural and electronic properties, making them suitable for various advanced applications. This work investigates the optimization of hydrothermal synthesis parameters to produce high-quality VS₂ nanosheets. Key synthesis variables, including temperature, reaction time, and precursor concentrations, were systematically varied to assess their impact on the structural, and morphological of the resulting nanosheets. Characterization techniques such as X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were employed to analyze the crystalline structure and morphology of the prepared VS₂ nanosheets. The optimized synthesis conditions resulted in the formation of VS₂ nanosheets with enhanced crystallinity, confirming a layered hexagonal crystal structure with lattice parameters of a = b = 3.2210 Å and c = 5.7550 Å.

The SEM images demonstrate the distinct nanoflower structure of VS₂, which was not evident in non-optimized samples. This study underscores the importance of precise control over synthesis parameters to achieve desired material properties.
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1. Introduction

Two-dimensional single and few-layered transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have gained popularity due to their strong in-plane bonding and comparatively weak out-of-plane bindings. These materials exhibit amazing characteristics such as their single or multiple layers, extraordinary flexibility, the absence of dangling bonds caused by van der Waals pressures, and more [1-3]. These materials can exist in several states, such as semiconductor, insulator, semimetal, and true-metal structures, depending on their oxidation states and coordination [3]. The desirable electronic and magnetic properties can be obtained by carefully controlling the compositional variation, functionalization, and application of external fields [4]. Most 2D materials are naturally non-magnetic in their original state. However, the layered composition of 2D transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) and their various structural phases have bestowed them with exceptional material properties, making them ideal for use in logic circuits, field-effect transistors, light-emitting diodes, photodetectors, and similar applications [4]. These TMD layers are found in the form of sandwich structures, where the transition metals (groups 4–10) are sandwiched between chalcogen atoms (X: S, Se, and Te) [5-7].

The remarkable electrical, electrochemical, magnetic, and optical features of VS₂ nanomaterials have attracted significant attention among researchers studying Transition Metal Dichalcogenides (TMDs) [8-11]. The two-dimensional structure of these materials is usually one or two layers thick, much like other transversely sandwiched atomic layers held together by van der Waals interaction [12]. The exceptional characteristics of 2D TMD VS₂,
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including its electrical configuration, structural layout, bandgap, and van der Waals interactions [13, 14], render it well-suited for comprehensive investigation from a basic standpoint. Paying close attention to these characteristics while synthesizing VS₂ nanomaterials should provide a more accurate result, enabling substantial property modification. Experimental methodologies and techniques impact different underlying mechanisms, which in turn affect the properties following synthesis, beyond these basic aspects. Among various synthesis methods, the hydrothermal approach for producing VS₂ has gained significant attention. This method is favored due to its simplicity, energy efficiency, and cost-effectiveness. The hydrothermal synthesis process allows for precise control over the reaction conditions, leading to high-quality VS₂ with desirable properties for various applications [15-17].

This research breaks new ground by focusing on the optimization of the hydrothermal synthesis of VS₂ nanosheets, a material with promising potential. By systematically varying synthesis parameters, we seek to comprehensively understand their effects on the morphological and structural properties of VS₂ nanosheets. The identification of optimal synthesis conditions will be achieved through an integrated approach using advanced characterization techniques, X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). This study's novelty lies in its detailed exploration of how synthesis parameters influence the properties of VS₂ nanosheets, paving the way for advancements in their applications.

2. Materials and methods

All chemicals used in this research were obtained from commercial suppliers and were not further processed from their original forms. Throughout the trials, deionized (DI) water was utilized for both the solvent and washing purposes.

2.1 Synthesis of VS₂ nanosheets

In a typical synthesis, VS₂ nanosheets were prepared using a cost-effective hydrothermal method [18], by reacting sodium orthovanadate (Na₃VO₄) with thioacetamide (TAA). Specifically, 0.112 g of TAA and 0.055 g of Na₃VO₄ were dissolved in 40 mL of deionized water and stirred magnetically for 1 hour to form a homogeneous solution. This solution was then transferred into a 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave, sealed, and heated at 160 °C for 20 hours. After cooling, the product was washed several times with ethyl alcohol and deionized water. The resulting black powder was then dried in an electric oven at 80 °C for 10 hours. Moreover, to further investigate optimizing the synthesized products, a series of experiments with systematic variations in hydrothermal synthesis were conducted, as shown in Fig. 1. The adjustments included changing the reaction temperature, the reaction duration, and the molar ratio of precursors as detailed below.

2.1.1 Optimization with Respect to Reaction Temperature

This set of samples, referred to as the temperature-group, was utilized to investigate the impact of different hydrothermal temperatures (T, = 100 °C, 120 °C, 140 °C, and 160 °C), while maintaining other experimental conditions constant. The solution consisted of 0.055 g Na₃VO₄, 0.112 g TAA, and 40 ml H₂O, keeping the molar ratio of TAA to Na₃VO₄ at 5, with a hydrothermal treatment duration of 20 hours.

2.1.2 Optimization with Respect to Reaction Time

This set of samples, referred to as the time-group, was employed to examine the effects of different hydrothermal times (t, = 24 h, 20 h, 15 h, and 10 h), with other experimental conditions remaining fixed. The solution contained 0.055 g Na₃VO₄, 0.112 g TAA, and 40 ml H₂O, maintaining the molar ratio of TAA to Na₃VO₄ at 5. The mixed solution was subjected to hydrothermal treatment at 160°C.

2.1.3 Optimization with Respect to Precursors Molar Ratio

This set of samples, known as the ratio-group, was used to explore the effects of various ratios of precursors (Na₃VO₄: TAA) [(1:2), (1:3), (1:5), (1:6)], while keeping other experimental conditions constant. The solution comprised 0.055 g Na₃VO₄, 0.112 g TAA, and 40 ml H₂O. The mixed solution underwent hydrothermal treatment at 160°C for 20 hours.
2.2 Characterization

Various methodologies were employed to conduct the structural examination of the initial samples. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was performed using a Bruker model D8 Advance equipment equipped with Cu-Kα radiation and a step interval of 0.02°. The morphological analysis was conducted using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) at an operating voltage of 30 kV, and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) using a JEM-2100 apparatus at 200 kV.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 XRD of VS₂ samples

XRD analysis was utilized to investigate the crystalline structures of various VS₂ sample groups. The crystallite size (D) was determined by the Debye-Scherer Eq. 1 [19], and shown in Table 1.

\[ D = \frac{k \lambda}{B \cos \theta} \]  

(1)

Where θ represents the diffraction angle, K is a constant set at 0.9, and β denotes the full width at half maximum (FWHM).

3.1.1 Optimization with respect to reaction temperature

The XRD patterns in Fig. 2(a) illustrate the impact of temperature on the samples categorized by temperature groups. Temperature plays a crucial role in determining particle size, as summarized in Table 1. It influences both particle growth and crystallization. Figure 2 shows that the XRD patterns of samples treated at reactive temperatures of 100, 120, and 140°C are predominantly amorphous in the range of 2θ=20 to 80 degrees. Notably, a distinct peak at 2θ = 15.6 is visible in these samples, corresponding to the (0 0 1) lattice plane of VS₂ NS. Upon increasing the hydrothermal temperature to 160°C, the XRD peak intensities for VS₂ become stronger, and the XRD diffraction peaks become slightly narrower, indicating the formation of larger and more crystalline VS₂ particles and an enhancement in crystallization. This observation suggests that hydrothermal treatment promotes the phase transition of VS₂ from amorphous to crystalline. The most favorable XRD outcomes are achieved at 160°C.

3.1.2 Optimization with respect to reaction time

Figure 2(b) displays the XRD patterns of Time-group samples synthesized at 160°C. Time significantly impacts particle size, as evidenced by Table 1, which presents the average crystalline sizes of VS₂ samples prepared at 160°C across various hydrothermal treatment durations. The data indicate that prolonged hydrothermal treatment leads to increased peak intensities and a narrower (0 1 1) plane diffraction peak of VS₂ (2θ = 35.6). This trend reflects the growth in average crystalline sizes and relative VS₂ crystallinity with longer hydrothermal durations, attributed to the promotion of Ostwald ripening [20]. It can be seen that the best XRD results are obtained at 20 h reaction time.

3.1.2 Optimization with respect to molar ratio of precursors

The results from the Ratio-group samples are illustrated in Fig. 2(c), with the particle size variation detailed in Table 3-1. At a molar ratio of 1: 3, only one peak at 2θ = 15.6 corresponds to the (0 0 1) lattice plane of VS₂ NS. Increasing the molar ratio to 1:4 reveals the presence of a peak for the (0 1 1) plane, indicating VS₂ NS growth. Subsequent increases to molar ratios of 1: 5 and 1: 6 result in sharper and more intense peaks, with additional peaks at 2θ = 57.1, 69.4, and 69.2 corresponding to hexagonal VS₂ NS. This trend suggests that higher V⁴⁺: S²⁻ molar ratios lead to smaller particle sizes, attributed to a reduction in reactive velocity due to decreased effective precursor concentrations [21].

So, the best sample of VS₂ NS is obtained with a hydrothermal reaction of Na₃VO₄ and TAA at 160°C for 20 hours with a molar ratio of 1: 5 with the provided XRD pattern in Fig. 2(d). The analysis confirmed that the VS₂ has a layered hexagonal crystal structure, with lattice parameters a = b = 3.2210 Å and c = 5.7550 Å, as indicated by the matching diffraction peaks (lattice planes) in the optimized sample and the data from JCPDS card 01-089-1640 [22]. The prominent peak at 35.6° indicates crystal growth in the (011) lattice plane, aligning with the TEM observations. The XRD peaks between 20° and 30° 2θ match the JCPDS line spectrum (98-000-0420), suggesting the presence of elemental sulfur (S), likely due to sulfur ion reduction caused by a small amount of TAA impurities in the pores of VS₂ [23, 24].
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Fig. (2) XRD of VS₂ samples, (a) Temperature-group; (b) Time-group; (c) Ratio-group and (d) the optimized VS₂ sample.

Table (1) The average crystallite size (D) of VS₂ samples synthesized under different conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Avg. Crystallite size D (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature-group</td>
<td>100 °C</td>
<td>120 °C</td>
<td>140 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avg. Crystallite size D (nm)</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-group</td>
<td>10 h</td>
<td>15 h</td>
<td>20 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Crystallite size D (nm)</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>20.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio-group</td>
<td>1: 3</td>
<td>1: 4</td>
<td>1: 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Crystallite size D (nm)</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>20.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Surface morphology

The samples’ morphology was examined using field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM). Figures 3(a) and 3(b) illustrate low-magnification FE-SEM images of the optimized VS₂, showing a flower-like microstructure made up of nanosheets stacked randomly. The high-resolution SEM image (Fig. 3(c) and 3(d)) offers a detailed view of these nanosheet layers, which are around 46 nm thick and oriented randomly. To provide a comparison of VS₂ morphology, SEM images of one sample from each synthesized group are shown in Fig. 4. These images reveal that the nanosheet structure of VS₂, particularly arranged in a nanoflower shape, is not evident in the selected non-optimized samples.
Fig. (3) (a & b) Low magnification SEM images and (c & d) high magnification SEM images of the optimized VS₂ sample.

Fig. (4) Comparison of the morphology of selected as-Prepared samples via SEM analysis.

Fig. (5) (a&b) TEM/HR-TEM images of VS₂ at different magnifications; highlighted regions of (b) show the lattice spacing of VS₂ (b1), and an interlayer spacing (b2).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis was conducted on the optimized VS₂ sample at various magnifications to validate their microstructures. Figure 5(a) depicts a TEM image revealing the hierarchical arrangement of VS₂, composed of nanosheet-like structures. The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image in Fig. 5(b) highlights an interlayer spacing of 0.575 nm between adjacent monolayers, corresponding to the (001) interlayer spacing along the c-axis direction of pristine VS₂ nanosheets [25]. The other highlighted area shows a periodic lattice fringe pattern with an interplanar spacing “d” of 0.25 nm corresponding to the (011) crystal plane VS₂ [26].

Conclusion
The hydrothermal synthesis of vanadium disulfide (VS₂) has been investigated comprehensively in this study, focusing on experimental optimization and characterization aspects. Through systematic variation of synthesis parameters, we have gained insights into their effects on the morphological, structural, and electronic properties of VS₂. Our findings, supported by advanced characterization techniques such as X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), have led to the determination of the ideal synthesis conditions for VS₂ nanosheets involved a setup at 160°C for 20 hours, with a molar ratio of 1: 5 for V and S source, respectively. Notably, the SEM images demonstrate the distinct nanoflower structure of VS₂, which was not evident in non-optimized samples. These results contribute significantly to the understanding of VS₂ synthesis...
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and lay a solid foundation for further exploration of its applications in various fields.
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